

# THE PREIMAGE OF A COORDINATE

SHENG-JUN GONG AND JIE-TAI YU

**Abstract.** Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero. Based on the degree estimate of Makar-Limanov and J.-T. Yu, we prove the following new result: The preimage of a coordinate under an injective endomorphism of  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  is also a coordinate. As by-products, we give new proofs of the following results: 1) The preimage of a coordinate under an injective endomorphism of  $K[x, y]$  is also a coordinate; 2) Any automorphism of  $K[x, y]$  or  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  is tame.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper,  $K$  always denotes a field of characteristic zero. Automorphisms (endomorphisms) always mean  $K$ -automorphisms ( $K$ -endomorphisms).

In Shpilrain and J.-T. Yu [12], the following problem was raised:

**Problem 1.** Let  $p \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  and there exists an injective endomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(p) = x$ . Is then  $p$  a coordinate of  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ ?

In [12], a negative answer was given to Problem 1 for  $n \geq 4$ . But the problem remains open for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  to the best of our knowledge.

Recently Makar-Limanov and J.-T. Yu [10] have given a sharp lower degree bound for subalgebras generated by two elements of a polynomial or free associative algebra. It has found applications for characterization of test elements by retracts for free associative algebras, see S.-J. Gong and J.-T. Yu [5].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S10, 16W20; Secondary 13B10, 13F20.

*Key words and phrases.* Degree estimate, free associative algebra, polynomial algebra, coordinate, tame automorphism, Jung's theorem.

Sheng-Jun Gong was partially supported by a University of Hong Kong Postgraduate Studentship.

Jie-Tai Yu was partially supported by an RGC-CERG Grant.

In this note, based on the degree estimate of Makar-Limanov and J.-T.Yu [10], we give a positive answer for Problem 1 for  $n = 2$  when  $K$  has characteristic zero.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p \in K\langle x, y \rangle$  and there exists an injective endomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(p) = x$ . Then  $p$  is a coordinate of  $K\langle x, y \rangle$ .*

Note that the analogue of the above result in the polynomial case, which has found applications in affine algebraic geometry [9, 11, 13], is the following proposition, which was initially obtained by L.A.Campbell and J.-T.Yu [1]. But for  $n \geq 3$ , the analogue of Problem 1 for polynomial algebras has a negative solution by Makar-Limanov, see [4, 12].

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $p \in K[x, y]$  and there exists an injective endomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(p) = x$ . Then  $p$  is a coordinate of  $K[x, y]$ .*

The proof of the above result in [1] was somewhat complicated. Here we present a simple new proof by the degree estimate in [10].

Note that in [7], the authors considered inverse images of coordinates in some free algebras and showed that the similar results hold for all free (non-associative) algebras.

As by-products, we also give simple new proofs of the following two well-known results.

The first is due to Jung [6].

**Proposition 1.3.** *Any automorphism of  $K[x, y]$  is tame, namely, can be decomposed as a product of linear and elementary automorphisms.*

Note that an elementary automorphism of a polynomial or associative algebra is an automorphism fixing all generators except one.

The second was given by Makar-Limanov [8] and Czerniakiewicz [3]. See also Cohn [2].

**Proposition 1.4.** *Any automorphism of  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  is tame. Moreover,  $\text{Aut}K\langle x, y \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}K[x, y]$ .*

## 2. PROOFS

The following two lemmas are Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 in [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  be a free associative algebra over an arbitrary field  $K$  of zero characteristic,  $f, g \in A$  be algebraically*

independent,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically independent, or  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically dependent and neither  $\deg(f) \mid \deg(g)$  nor  $\deg(g) \mid \deg(f)$ ,  $p \in K\langle x, y \rangle$ . Then

$$\deg(p(f, g)) \geq \frac{\deg[f, g]}{\deg(fg)} w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p).$$

Here  $\deg$  is the homogeneous (total) degree of the corresponding element,  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p)$  is the weighted degree of  $p$  when the weight of the first variable is  $\deg(f)$  and the weight of the second variable is  $\deg(g)$ ,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are the highest homogeneous components of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively, and  $[f, g] = fg - gf$  is the commutator of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial algebra over an arbitrary field  $K$  of zero characteristic,  $f, g \in A$  be algebraically independent,  $p \in K[x, y]$ . Then*

$$\deg(p(f, g)) \geq w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p) \left[ 1 - \frac{(\deg(f), \deg(g))(\deg(fg) - \deg(J(f, g)) - 2)}{\deg(f)\deg(g)} \right].$$

Here  $\deg$  is the homogeneous (total) degree of the corresponding element,  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p)$  is the weighted degree of  $p$  when the weight of the first variable is  $\deg(f)$  and the weight of the second variable is  $\deg(g)$ ,  $(\deg(f), \deg(g))$  is the greatest common divisor of  $\deg(f)$  and  $\deg(g)$ ,  $\deg(J(f, g))$  is the largest degree of nonzero Jacobian determinants of  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to two of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  be a free associative algebra over an arbitrary field  $K$  of zero characteristic,  $f, g \in A$  be algebraically independent,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically dependent and neither  $\deg(f) \mid \deg(g)$  nor  $\deg(g) \mid \deg(f)$ ,  $p \in K\langle x, y \rangle$ . Then*

$$\deg(p(f, g)) \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2.1. We may assume  $2 \leq m = \deg(f) < \deg(g) = n$ . Then obviously  $(m + n) < 2n \leq \text{lcm}(m, n)$ .

1) If  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p) < \deg(fg) = (m + n) < \text{lcm}(m, n)$ , then in  $p(f, g)$ ,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  cannot cancel out, hence  $\deg(p(f, g)) \geq \deg(f) \geq 2$ ;

2) Otherwise  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p) \geq \deg(fg)$ , it follows that  $\deg(p(f, g)) \geq \deg[f, g] \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Note that in the above proof, we use the well-known fact: Two elements  $f, g \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  are algebraically independent over  $K$  if

and only if  $[f, g] \neq 0$  if and only if  $\deg[f, g] \geq 2$ . See, for instance, Cohn [2].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial algebra over an arbitrary field  $K$  of zero characteristic,  $f, g \in A$  be algebraically independent,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically dependent and neither  $\deg(f) \nmid \deg(g)$  nor  $\deg(g) \nmid \deg(f)$ ,  $p \in K[x, y]$ . Then*

$$\deg(p(f, g)) \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2.2. We may assume  $2 \leq m = \deg(f) < \deg(g) = n$ .

1) If  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p) < \text{lcm}(m, n)$ , then in  $p(f, g)$ ,  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  cannot cancel out, hence  $\deg(p(f, g)) \geq \deg(f) \geq 2$ ;

2) Otherwise  $w_{\deg(f), \deg(g)}(p) \geq \text{lcm}(m, n) = mn/(m, n)$ . We also have  $mn = (m, n)\text{lcm}(m, n) \geq (m, n)(m + n)$ . Hence  $\deg(p(f, g)) \geq \deg(J(f, g)) + 2 \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Note that in the above proof, we use the well-known fact: Two elements  $f, g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are algebraically independent over  $K$  if and only if  $J(f, g) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\deg(J(f, g)) \geq 0$ . See, for instance, J.-T. Yu [14].

### Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $\phi(x) = f, \phi(y) = g$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are algebraically independent. Set  $\deg(f) = m, \deg(g) = n$ . Let  $h(x, y)$  be the highest  $(m, n)$  homogeneous component of  $p(x, y)$

1) If  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically independent, by  $p(f, g) = x$ , we get  $h(f^+, g^+) = x$ . Then  $h$  must be linear. So is  $p$ . Hence  $p$  is a coordinate.

2) If  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically dependent, by Lemma 2.3,  $m \mid n$  or  $n \mid m$ . Suppose  $m \mid n, n = km$ . Replace  $g$  by  $g_1 = g - f^k$  and  $p(x, y)$  by  $p_1(x, y) = p(x, y + x^k)$ . We get  $p_1(f, g_1) = x$ . Note that  $\deg(g_1) < \deg(g)$  and  $p_1$  is a coordinate if and only if so is  $p$ .

Repeating the process in 2) inductively, after a finite number of steps we would return to the case 1). Therefore  $p$  is a coordinate.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 1.2.

Similar to the above proof. Just replace Lemma 2.3 by Lemma 2.4 in the proof.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 1.3.

Let  $\phi = (f, g)$  be an automorphism of  $K[x, y]$ . Then there exist  $p, q$  such that  $p(f, g) = x$ ,  $q(f, g) = y$ . Set  $\deg(f) = m$ ,  $\deg(g) = n$ .

1) If  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically independent. Since  $p(f, g) = x$  and  $q(f, g) = y$ , both  $f$  and  $g$  are linear, since  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  cannot cancel out in  $p(f, g)$  and  $q(f, g)$ .

2) If  $f^+$  and  $g^+$  are algebraically dependent. Then by Lemma 2.4,  $m \mid n$  or  $n \mid m$ . Suppose  $m \mid n$ ,  $n = km$ . Replace  $g$  by  $g_1 = g - f^k$ . Note  $\deg(g_1) < \deg(g)$  and  $(f, g)$  is composition of the automorphism  $(f, g_1)$  with the elementary automorphism  $(x, y + x^k)$ .

Repeating the process in 2) inductively, after a finite number of steps we would return to the case 1). Therefore  $\phi$  is a composition of linear and elementary automorphisms, hence tame.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 1.4.

First, similar to the Proof of Proposition 1.3, we can prove that any automorphism of  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  is tame (just replace Lemma 2.4 by Lemma 2.3 in the proof).

An automorphism  $\phi = (f, g) \in \text{Aut}K\langle x, y \rangle$  is a product of linear and elementary automorphisms:  $(f, g) = (f_1, g_1) \dots (f_s, g_s)$ . Take the map  $\text{Aut}K\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}K[x, y]$  induced by the abelianization from  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  onto  $K[x, y]$ , we get the automorphism  $\bar{\phi} = (\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  of  $K[x, y]$  as a product of corresponding linear and elementary automorphisms of  $K[x, y]$ :  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = (\bar{f}_1, \bar{g}_1) \dots (\bar{f}_s, \bar{g}_s)$ . Note that the linear and elementary automorphisms of  $K\langle x, y \rangle$  and  $K[x, y]$  are 'identical'. Therefore, the map  $\text{Aut}K\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}K[x, y]$  is bijective, hence it is an isomorphism between the two groups.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Campbell, J. -T. Yu, *Two dimensional coordinate polynomials and dominant maps*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), 2297-2301.
- [2] P. M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, 2nd Edition, London Mathematical Society Monograph, **19**, Academic Press, Inc. London, 1985.
- [3] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, *Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2*, I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (1971), 393-401; **171** (1972), 309-315.

- [4] V. Drensky, J.-T. Yu, *Primitive elements of free metabelian algebras of rank two*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **13** (2003), 17-33.
- [5] S.-J. Gong, J.-T. Yu, *Test elements, retracts and automorphic orbits*, Preprint.
- [6] H.W.E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J.Reine Angew.Math. **184** (1942), 161-174.
- [7] A. A. Mikhalev, V. Shpilrain, J. -T. Yu, *On endomorphisms of free algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **6** (1999), 241-248.
- [8] L. Makar-Limanov, *On automorphisms of free algebra with two generators*, Funk. Analiz. Prilozh. **4** (1970), no. 3, 107-108. English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. **4** (1970), 262-264.
- [9] L.Makar-Limanov, P.van Rossum, V.Shpilrain, J.-T. Yu, *The stable equivalence and cancellation problems*, Comment. Math. Helv. **79** (2004), 341-349.
- [10] L. Makar-Limanov, J. -T. Yu, *Degree estimate for subalgebras generated by two elements*, J. Euro. Math. Soc. (to appear)
- [11] V.Shpilrain, J.-T. Yu, *Affine varieties with equivalent cylinders*, J. Algebra **251** (2002), 295-307.
- [12] V.Shpilrain, J.-T. Yu, *Factor algebras of free algebras: on a problem of G. Bergman*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 706-710.
- [13] V. Shpilrain, J. -T. Yu, *Test polynomials, retracts, and the Jacobian conjecture*, in Affine Algebraic Geometry, Contemp. Math. **369** (2005), 253-259, Amer. Math. Soc. Series, Providence, RI.
- [14] J.-T. Yu, *On relations between Jacobians and minimal polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. **221** (1995), 19-29.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

*E-mail address:* sjgong@hkusua.hku.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

*E-mail address:* yujt@hkucc.hku.hk, yujietai@yahoo.com