

# On the least quadratic non-residue

†

Y.-K. LAU & J. WU

**Abstract.** We prove that for almost all real primitive characters  $\chi_d$  of modulus  $|d|$ , the least positive integer  $n_{\chi_d}$  at which  $\chi_d$  takes a value not equal to 0 and 1 satisfies  $n_{\chi_d} \ll \log |d|$ , and give a quite precise estimate on the size of the exceptional set. Also, we generalize Burgess' bound for  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$  (with  $p'$  being a prime up to  $\pm$  sign) to composite modulus  $|d|$  and improve Garaev's upper bound for the least quadratic non-residue in Pajtechĭ-Šapiro's sequence.

## § 1. Introduction

Let  $q \geq 2$  be an integer and  $\chi$  a non principal Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ . Here the evaluation of the least integer  $n_\chi$  among all positive integers  $n$  for which  $\chi(n) \neq 0, 1$  is referred as Linnik's problem. In case  $\chi$  coincides with the Legendre symbol,  $n_\chi$  is a least quadratic non-residue. Concerning the size of  $n_\chi$ , Pólya-Vinogradov's inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \max_{x \geq 1} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \right| \ll q^{1/2} \log q$$

implies trivially  $n_\chi \ll q^{1/2} \log q$ . But for prime  $q$ , Vinogradov [24] proved the better bound

$$(1.2) \quad n_\chi \ll q^{1/(2\sqrt{e})} (\log q)^2$$

by combining a simple argument with (1.1). He also conjectured that  $n_\chi \ll_\varepsilon q^\varepsilon$  for all integers  $q \geq 2$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), Linnik [18] settled this conjecture, and later Ankeny [1] gave a sharper estimate

$$(1.3) \quad n_\chi \ll (\log q)^2$$

(still assuming GRH). Burgess ([3], [4], [5]) wrote a series of important papers on sharpening (1.1). His well known estimate on character sums is as follows: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \right| \ll_\varepsilon x q^{-\delta(\varepsilon)}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11K31

*Key words and phrases*: Special sequences

† Part of the paper is published under the same title in International Journal of Number Theory.

provided  $x \geq q^{1/3+\varepsilon}$ . The last condition can be improved to  $x \geq q^{1/4+\varepsilon}$  if  $q$  is cubefree. When  $q$  is prime, he deduced, via (1.4) and Vinogradov's argument,

$$(1.5) \quad n_\chi \ll_\varepsilon q^{1/(4\sqrt{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon}.$$

Since Burgess' estimate (1.4) on character sums holds for composite modulus, one expects a bound analogous to (1.5) for  $n_\chi$  in general cases, but this seems not available in literature. Our first result is to propose such a generalisation, by modifying Vinogradov's argument.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive number. For all integers  $q \geq 2$  and  $\chi$  non principal characters (mod  $q$ ), we have*

$$n_\chi \ll_\varepsilon \begin{cases} q^{1/(4\sqrt{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon} & \text{if } q \text{ is cubefree,} \\ q^{1/(3\sqrt{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in the Section 2.

Let us now focus on real primitive characters. Denote  $\mathcal{D}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ ) to be the set of fundamental discriminants  $d$  (resp. with  $|d| \leq Q$ ), that is, the set of non-zero integers  $d$  which are products of coprime factors of the form  $-4, 8, -8, p'$  where  $p' := (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$  ( $p$  odd prime). Also, we write  $\mathcal{K}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}(Q)$ ) for the set of real primitive characters (resp. with modulus  $q \leq Q$ ). Then there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  given by

$$d \mapsto \chi_d(\cdot) = \left(\frac{d}{\cdot}\right)_K$$

where  $\left(\frac{d}{\cdot}\right)_K$  is the Kronecker symbol. Note that the modulus of  $\chi_d$  equals  $|d|$  and

$$(1.6) \quad |\mathcal{D}(Q)| = |\mathcal{K}(Q)| = \frac{6}{\pi^2}Q + O(Q^{1/2}).$$

In the opposite direction of (1.2), Fridlender [12], Salié [23] and Chowla & Turán (see [10]) independently showed that there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which

$$(1.7) \quad n_{\chi_{p'}} \gg \log p,$$

or in other words,  $n_{\chi_{p'}} = \Omega(\log p)$ . Under GRH, Montgomery [20] gave a stronger result  $n_{\chi_{p'}} = \Omega(\log p \log_2 p)$ , where  $\log_k$  denotes the  $k$ -fold iterated logarithm. Without any assumption Graham & Ringrose [14] obtained  $n_{\chi_{p'}} = \Omega(\log p \log_3 p)$ . In view of these results, it is natural to wonder what is the size of the majority of  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$ , or more generally  $n_{\chi_d}$ . Indeed the density of  $p'$  for which  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$  satisfies (1.7) is low. This can be seen from Erdős' result [11],

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_{\chi_{p'}} = \text{constant},$$

where  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of primes up to  $x$ . This result is extended and refined by Elliott in [7] and [8]. Using (1.8) or its refinement in [7], it follows, for any fixed constant  $\delta > 0$ , that

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{p \leq x, n_{\chi_{p'}} \geq \delta \log p} 1 \ll_\delta \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}.$$

In [6], Duke & Kowalski indicated: Let  $\alpha > 1$  be given. Denote by  $N(Q, \alpha)$  the number of primitive characters  $\chi$  (not necessarily real) of modulus  $q \leq Q$  such that  $\chi(n) = 1$  for all  $n \leq (\log Q)^\alpha$  and  $(n, q) = 1$ . Then one has

$$N(Q, \alpha) \ll_\varepsilon Q^{2/\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore

$$|\{d \leq Q : n_{\chi_d} \geq (\log Q)^\alpha\}| \ll_\varepsilon Q^{2/\alpha+\varepsilon}.$$

However, in view of (1.6) this result is non-trivial only when  $\alpha > 2$  and it tells that  $n_{\chi_d} \geq (\log |d|)^{2+\varepsilon}$  for almost all fundamental discriminants  $d$ . Very recently Baier [2] improved  $2 + \varepsilon$  to  $1 + \varepsilon$  by using the large sieve inequality of Heath-Brown [15] for real primitive characters. However, the argument is unable to cover the case  $\alpha = 1$  or to provide information on the sparsity of the primes  $p$  with  $n_{\chi_p} \gg \log p$  as in (1.9).

Our second result is to supplement the case  $\alpha = 1$ , using the large sieve inequality of Elliott-Montgomery-Vaughan (see [9] and [21]). We obtain an almost all result, which is strong enough to yield a tighter estimate on the low density of exceptional non-residues than in (1.9).

**Theorem 2.** For  $2 \leq P \leq Q$ , define

$$\mathcal{E}(Q, P) := \{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q) : \chi_d(p) = 1 \text{ for } P < p \leq 2P \text{ and } p \nmid |d|\}.$$

Then there are two absolute positive constants  $C$  and  $c$  such that

$$(1.10) \quad |\mathcal{E}(Q, P)| \ll Q e^{-c(\log Q)/\log_2 Q}$$

holds uniformly for  $Q \geq 10$  and  $C \log Q \leq P \leq (\log Q)^2$ . In particular we have

$$(1.11) \quad n_{\chi_d} \ll \log |d|$$

for all but except  $O(Q e^{-c(\log Q)/\log_2 Q})$  characters  $\chi_d \in \mathcal{K}(Q)$ .

Sections 3 and e are devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 (essentially due to Graham & Ringrose [14]) shows that the upper bound for exceptional real primitive characters set is optimal. Graham & Ringrose considered a problem of the quasi-random graphs (Paley graphs) which leads to study the lower bound for the sum of the right-hand side of (6.5) below. This will also be the essential part of our proof of Theorem 3. We shall provide the salient points along the line of arguments in [14] to prove Theorem 3, see Sections 5 and 6.

**Theorem 3.** For any fixed constant  $\delta > 0$ , there are a sequence of positive real numbers  $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $Q_n \rightarrow \infty$  and a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{\substack{Q_n^{1/2} < p \leq Q_n \\ n_{\chi_p} \geq \delta \log p}} 1 \gg_\delta Q_n e^{-c(\log Q_n)/\log_2 Q_n}.$$

Further if we assume that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_y)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_y)$  defined in (5.3) below have no exceptional zeros in the region (5.4), then (1.12) holds for all  $Q \geq 10$ .

Finally we consider the least quadratic non-residue problem in Pajtechij-Šapiro's sequence  $\{[n^c]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , where  $c > 1$  is a constant and  $[t]$  denotes the integral part of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $n_{\chi_{p',c}}$

the least positive integer  $n$  such that  $[n^c]$  is a quadratic non-residue (mod  $p$ ). Garaev [13] proved that for  $1 < c < \frac{12}{11}$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has

$$(1.13) \quad n_{\chi_{p^c}, c} \ll_{c, \varepsilon} p^{3/(8(3-2c)\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}$$

for all primes  $p$ . He pointed out also that by the method of exponential pairs the range of  $c$  and the exponent of  $p$  can be improved to  $1 < c < \frac{12}{11} + 0.00257 \dots$  and  $1/(8(1-\theta_2c)\sqrt{e})$ , respectively, where  $\theta_2 = 0.66451 \dots$ . Here we propose a further improvement by applying a recent result of Robert & Sargos [22], and give an almost result based on Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 < c < \frac{32}{29}$ . Then for all primes  $p$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$n_{\chi_{p^c}, c} \ll_{c, \varepsilon} p^{9/((64-40c)\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}.$$

For all but except  $O(Qe^{-c(\log Q)/\log_2 Q})$  primes  $p$  with  $p \leq Q$ , we have

$$n_{\chi_{p^c}, c} \ll_{c, \varepsilon} (\log p)^{9/(16-10c)+\varepsilon}.$$

We prove Theorem 4 in Section 7.

Our range of  $c$  is larger than  $\frac{12}{11} + 0.00257 \dots$  ( $\frac{32}{29} = \frac{12}{11} + 0.01253 \dots$ ) and our exponent is definitely better than (1.13) but is smaller than  $1/(8(1-\theta_2c)\sqrt{e})$  only when  $c > 1/(9\theta_2 - 5) = 1.019794 \dots$ . It is possible to give a slightly better result with Huxley's estimates for exponential sums [16, § 18.5]. We can also generalize Theorem 4 to composite modulus  $|d|$  as in Theorem 1, but with smaller range of  $c$  and larger exponent of  $|d|$ .

## § 2. Vinogradov's argument and proof of Theorem 1

Without loss of generality we assume  $n_\chi \geq q^{1/(4\sqrt{e})}$  (otherwise there is nothing to prove). Let  $x$  be a number specified later but satisfy

$$q > x \geq \begin{cases} q^{1/4+\varepsilon} & \text{if } q \text{ is cubefree,} \\ q^{1/3+\varepsilon} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Burgess' well known estimate (1.4) on character sums, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are two positive constants  $C_\varepsilon$  and  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C_\varepsilon x q^{-\delta(\varepsilon)} &\geq \left| \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} 1 - 2 \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1, \chi(n) \neq 1}} 1 \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} 1 - 2 \sum_{n_\chi < p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/p \\ (m, q) = 1}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

As usual we denote by  $\varphi(n)$  the Euler function,  $\mu(n)$  the Möbius function and  $\omega(n)$  the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ . With the Möbius inversion formula, we have, for some  $|\theta| \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{m \leq x/d} 1 = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x + \theta 2^{\omega(q)}.$$

To estimate the last double sum on the right-hand side of (2.1), we divide the sum over  $p$  into two parts according as  $n_\chi < p \leq x/2^{\omega(q)}$  or  $x/2^{\omega(q)} < p \leq x$ . By (2.2), the first part contributes at most

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_\chi < p \leq x/2^{\omega(q)}} \left( \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{x}{p} + 2^{\omega(q)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \left\{ \log \left( \frac{\log x}{\log n_\chi} \right) + O \left( e^{-\sqrt{\log n_\chi}} \right) \right\} + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)x}{\log(x2^{-\omega(q)})} \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \log \left( \frac{\log x}{\log n_\chi} \right) + (1+2\varepsilon) \frac{x}{\log x}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $2^{\omega(q)} \ll x^\varepsilon$  and  $n_\chi \geq q^{1/(4\sqrt{\varepsilon})}$ . For the second part, we interchange the summations and apply the Rankin trick,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x/2^{\omega(q)} < p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/p \\ (m,q)=1}} 1 & \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq 2^{\omega(q)} \\ (m,q)=1}} \sum_{p \leq x/m} 1 \\ & \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq 2^{\omega(q)} \\ (m,q)=1}} \frac{1}{m} \\ & \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq 2^{\omega(q)} \\ (p,q)=1}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \\ & = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p > 2^{\omega(q)} \\ p|q}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \times \prod_{p \leq 2^{\omega(q)}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In virtue of the simple estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{p > 2^{\omega(q)} \\ p|q}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} & \ll \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p > 2^{\omega(q)} \\ p|q}} \frac{1}{p} \right\} \ll \exp \left\{ \frac{\omega(q)}{2^{\omega(q)}} \right\} \ll 1, \\ \prod_{p \leq 2^{\omega(q)}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} & \ll \exp \left\{ \sum_{p \leq 2^{\omega(q)}} \frac{1}{p} \right\} \ll \omega(q), \end{aligned}$$

it follows immediately that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{x/2^{\omega(q)} < p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/p \\ (m,q)=1}} 1 \ll \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \frac{\omega(q)}{\log x}.$$

Inserting (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) into (2.1), we conclude

$$C_\varepsilon x q^{-\delta(\varepsilon)} \geq \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \left\{ 1 - 2 \log \left( \frac{\log x}{\log n_\chi} \right) \right\} - 2^{\omega(q)} - (1+2\varepsilon) \frac{x}{\log x} - C_\varepsilon \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \frac{\omega(q)}{\log x}.$$

From this we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left( \frac{\log x}{\log n_\chi} \right) & \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_\varepsilon}{2} \frac{q^{1-\delta(\varepsilon)}}{\varphi(q)} - \frac{(1/2 + \varepsilon)q}{\varphi(q) \log x} - \frac{C_\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\omega(q)}{\log x} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} - C_\varepsilon \left( \frac{q}{\varphi(q) \log x} + \frac{\omega(q)}{\log x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

provided  $q \geq q_0(\varepsilon)$ . Since  $q/\varphi(q) \log x + \omega(q)/\log x \ll (\log_2 q)^{-1}$ , the preceding inequality implies

$$n_\chi \ll x^{1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \exp \left\{ O \left( \frac{q}{\varphi(q)} + \omega(q) \right) \right\},$$

which gives the required result, by taking

$$x = \begin{cases} q^{1/4+\varepsilon} & \text{if } q \text{ is cubefree,} \\ q^{1/3+\varepsilon} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

### § 3. A large sieve inequality of Montgomery-Vaughan

Our key tool for proving Theorem 2 is a large sieve inequality of Montgomery & Vaughan in [21, page 1050] following from [21, Lemma 2]. Here we state a slightly refined version. Their original statement absorbs the factors  $(6/\log P)^j$  and  $\{6/(\log P)^2\}^j$  in the implied constant. We reproduce here their proof with a minuscule modification.

**Lemma 1.** *We have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q)} \left| \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{\chi_d(p)}{p} \right|^{2j} \ll Q \left( \frac{6j}{P \log P} \right)^j + \left( \frac{6P}{(\log P)^2} \right)^j$$

uniformly for  $2 \leq P \leq Q$  and  $j \geq 1$ . The implied constant is absolute.

*Proof.* Since  $\chi_d(n)$  is completely multiplicative on  $n$ , we can write

$$\left( \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{\chi_d(p)}{p} \right)^j = \sum_{P^j < m \leq (2P)^j} \frac{a_j(m)}{m} \chi_d(m),$$

where

$$a_j(m) := |\{(p_1, \dots, p_j) : p_1 \cdots p_j = m, P < p_i \leq 2P\}|.$$

By Lemma 2 of [21] with the choice of parameters

$$X = P^j, \quad Y = (2P)^j \quad \text{and} \quad a_m = a_j(m)/m,$$

it follows that as  $a_j(m_1)a_j(m_2) \leq a_{2j}(n^2)$  for  $n^2 = m_1m_2$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q)} \left| \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{\chi_d(p)}{p} \right|^{2j} \ll Q \sum_{P^j < n \leq (2P)^j} \frac{a_{2j}(n^2)}{n^2} + \left( \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \right)^{2j}.$$

Writing  $n = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_i^{\nu_i}$  with  $\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_i = j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2j}(n^2) &= \frac{(2j)!}{(2\nu_1)! \cdots (2\nu_i)!} \\ &= \frac{(2j)!}{j!} \frac{\nu_1!}{(2\nu_1)!} \cdots \frac{\nu_i!}{(2\nu_i)!} a_j(n). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is easy to see  $a_{2j}(n^2) \leq j^j a_j(n)$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P^j < n \leq (2P)^j} \frac{a_{2j}(n^2)}{n^2} &\leq j^j \sum_{P^j < n \leq (2P)^j} \frac{a_j(n)}{n^2} \\ &= \left( j \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{1}{p^2} \right)^j \\ &\leq \left( \frac{6j}{P \log P} \right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (3.2) and using the estimate

$$\sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \leq \frac{6P^{1/2}}{\log P},$$

we obtain the required result (3.1).  $\square$

#### § 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Define

$$\mathcal{E}^*(Q, P) := \{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q) : Q^{1/2} \leq |d| \leq Q \text{ and } \chi_d(p) = 1 \ (P < p \leq 2P, p \nmid |d|)\}.$$

Let  $C \log Q \leq P \leq (\log Q)^2$ . For  $d \in \mathcal{E}^*(Q, P)$ , we invoke the prime number theorem to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{\chi_d(p)}{p} &= \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{P < p \leq 2P, p \parallel |d|} \frac{1}{p} \\ &\geq \frac{\log 2 + o(1)}{\log P} - \frac{\{1 + o(1)\} \log Q}{P \log_2 Q} \\ &\geq \frac{\log 2 - 2/C + o(1)}{\log P} \\ &> \frac{1}{2 \log P}, \end{aligned}$$

provided  $C$  is sufficiently large. It is apparent from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{E}^*(Q, P)|}{(2 \log P)^{2j}} &\leq \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q)} \left| \sum_{P < p \leq 2P} \frac{\chi_d(p)}{p} \right|^{2j} \\ &\ll Q \left( \frac{6j}{P \log P} \right)^j + \left( \frac{6P}{(\log P)^2} \right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$|\mathcal{E}^*(Q, P)| \ll Q(12j \log P/P)^j + (12P)^j$$

uniformly for  $C \log Q \leq P \leq (\log Q)^2$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Taking

$$j = \left\lceil \frac{\log Q}{48 \log P} \right\rceil + 1,$$

a simple calculation shows that

$$|\mathcal{E}^*(Q, P)| \ll Qe^{-c(\log Q)/\log_2 Q}$$

with  $c = (\log 2)/48$ . This implies (1.10).

Finally let

$$\mathcal{E}^*(Q) := \{d \in \mathcal{D}(Q) : d \leq Q^{1/2}\} \cup \mathcal{E}^*(Q, C \log Q).$$

Then by (1.10), we have

$$|\mathcal{E}^*(Q)| \ll Qe^{-c(\log Q)/\log_2 Q},$$

and for any  $d \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \setminus \mathcal{E}^*(Q)$  there is a prime number  $p \asymp \log Q \asymp \log |d|$  such that  $\chi_d(p) \neq 1$ , which implies (1.11). The proof is complete.

### § 5. Graham-Ringrose's method

In this section, we shall state and extend the main results of ([14], Theorems 2, 3 and 4) for our purposes. For characters of certain moduli, Graham & Ringrose [14] obtained a wide zero-free region and good zero density estimates for the corresponding Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. The main ingredient of their method is an  $q$ -analogue of van der Corput's result, which can be stated as follows: *Suppose that  $q = 2^\nu r$ , where  $0 \leq \nu \leq 3$  and  $r$  is an odd squarefree integer, and that  $\chi$  is a non-principal character mod  $q$ . Let  $p$  be the largest prime factor of  $q$ . Suppose that  $k$  is a non-negative integer, and  $K = 2^k$ . Finally, assume that  $N \leq M$ . Then*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \chi(n) \ll M^{1 - \frac{k+3}{8K-2}} p^{\frac{k^2+3k+4}{32K-8}} q^{\frac{1}{8K-2}} d(q)^{\frac{32k^2+11k+8}{16K-4}} (\log q)^{\frac{k+3}{8K-2}} \sigma_{-1}(q),$$

where  $\sigma_a(q) := \sum_{d|q} d^a$  and  $d(q) := \sigma_0(q)$ . The implied constant is absolute.

Recall that for any odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\chi_8(p) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right), \quad \chi_{q'}(p) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_K = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \quad (q \text{ odd prime, } q' := (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q)$$

by definition. For squarefree  $m \geq 2$ , the character  $\chi_m := \prod_{p|m} \chi_{p'}$  for odd  $m$  or  $\chi_m := \chi_8 \chi_{m'}$  for  $m = 2m'$  is a real primitive of modulus  $m$  or  $4m$ , respectively. By convention, we set  $\chi_1 \equiv 1$ . Moreover, if  $\chi_4$  is the real primitive character mod 4, i.e.  $\chi_4(n) = (-1)^{(n-1)/2}$  for odd  $n$ , then  $\chi_{4m} := \chi_4 \chi_m$  is also a real primitive character of modulus  $4m$ .

Let

$$(5.2) \quad P_y := \prod_{p \leq y} p = e^{\{1+o(1)\}y} \quad (y \rightarrow \infty),$$

and define for  $\ell = 1$  or 4,

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_y) := \prod_{m|P_y} L(s, \chi_{\ell m}),$$

where  $L(s, \chi_{\ell m})$  is the Dirichlet  $L$ -function associated to  $\chi_{\ell m}$ . Denote by  $N_\ell(\alpha)$  the number of zeros of  $\mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_y)$  in the rectangle

$$\alpha \leq \sigma \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau| \leq \log P_y.$$

Here and in the sequel we implicitly define the real numbers  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  by the relation  $s = \sigma + i\tau$ .

The next lemmas 2, 3 and 4 are trivial extensions of Theorems 2, 3 and 4 of [14], respectively.



**Lemma 2.** *Let  $y \geq 100$ . Then there is an absolute positive constant  $C_1$  such that the  $L$ -function  $\prod_{\ell=1,4} \mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_y)$  has at most one zero in the region*

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{C_1(\log_2 P_y)^{1/2}}{\log P_y} \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau| \leq \log P_y.$$

*The exceptional zero, if exists, is real.*

*Proof.* As the crucial estimate (5.1) holds for all non-principal primitive characters of modulus  $q = 2^\nu r \geq 2$  with  $0 \leq \nu \leq 3$  and  $r$  being odd squarefree. Consider the case  $\nu = 0$  or  $3$ , and  $\nu = 2$  or  $3$ , respectively. We see that (5.1) applies to  $\chi_m$  and  $\chi_{4m}$  for any  $m|P_y$ . It follows that [14, Lemma 6.1] is valid for these characters. Proceeding with the same argument, we have [14, Lemma 6.2] for our  $L$ -function  $\prod_{\ell=1,4} \mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_y)$  in place of  $\mathbf{L}(s, P_y)$  there. Then the same proof of [14, Theorem 2] will give the desired result. (Note that the value of  $\phi$  suffers a negligible change when  $P_y$  is replaced by  $4P_y$  or  $8P_y$ .) The exceptional zero must be real, for otherwise, its conjugate is another zero in the specified region.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $C_1$  be as in Lemma 2. There is a sequence of positive real numbers  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_{y_n})$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_{y_n})$  have no zeros in the region*

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \eta(y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau| \leq \log P_{y_n},$$

where

$$\eta(y) := \frac{C_1(\log_2 P_y)^{1/2}}{2 \log P_y}.$$

*Proof.* Similar to [14, Theorem 3], our proof is also based on an interesting argument attributed to Maier [19]. Suppose that for some  $y$ , the product  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_y)\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_y)$  has an exceptional zero in the region (5.4). That is, it has a real zero  $\beta > 1 - 2\eta(y)$ . In view of (5.2), we can take  $y_n \geq y$  such that

$$(5.6) \quad \eta(y_n) < 1 - \beta < 2\eta(y_n).$$

By Lemma 2,  $\beta$  is the only exceptional zero of  $\prod_{\ell=1,4} \mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_{y_n})$  in the region

$$\sigma > 1 - 2\eta(y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau| \leq \log P_{y_n}.$$

Together with the first inequality in (5.6), this forces  $\prod_{\ell=1,4} \mathbf{L}_\ell(s, P_{y_n})$  to have no zero in the region (5.5). It follows that we can find a sequence of positive real numbers  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_{y_n})$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_{y_n})$  have no zero in this region.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\ell = 1$  or  $4$  and  $y \geq 100$ . Then there is an absolute constant  $C_2$  such that*

$$(5.7) \quad N_\ell(\alpha) \ll \begin{cases} \exp \left\{ \frac{C_2(1-\alpha) \log P_y}{\sqrt{\log_2 P_y}} + \frac{\log_3 P_y}{2} \right\} & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1 - \eta_1(y), \\ \exp \left\{ \frac{C_2(1-\alpha) \log P_y}{\log(1/(1-\alpha))} \right\} & \text{if } \alpha < 1 - \eta_1(y), \end{cases}$$

where

$$k_0(y) := \lceil (\log_2 P_y)^{1/2} \rceil \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_1(y) := \frac{k_0(y)}{2(2^{k_0(y)} - 2)}.$$

*Proof.* The case of  $\ell = 1$  has been done in [14, Sections 7 and 8] and  $N_4(\alpha)$  can be treated in the same way by applying (5.1) to our  $\chi_{4m}$ .  $\square$

### § 6. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we denote by  $p$  and  $q$  prime numbers. Define

$$\mathbb{P}_y := \{p : p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } \chi_p(q) = 1 \text{ for all } q \leq y\}.$$

Clearly we have  $n_{\chi_p} > y$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}_y$ . We shall first show that the set  $\mathbb{P}_y$  is not too small for suitable  $y$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  be a fixed small constant and  $y(x)$  be an strictly increasing function defined on  $[120, \infty)$  satisfying*

$$(6.1) \quad (\log x)e^{-\delta(\log_2 x)^{1/2}} \leq y(x) \leq \delta(\log x) \log_3 x.$$

*Then there are a positive constant  $c = c(\delta)$  and a sequence of positive real numbers  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that*

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{x_n^{1/2} < p \leq x_n \log x_n \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y(x_n)}} 1 \gg x_n e^{-cy(x_n)/\log y(x_n)}.$$

*Further if we assume that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_y)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_y)$  have no zeros in the region (5.4) for all  $y \geq 100$ , then there is a positive constant  $c$  such that for all  $x \geq 100$  we have*

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y}} 1 \gg x e^{-cy(x)/\log y(x)}.$$

*Proof.* First let  $10 \leq y \leq x^{1/2}$ . As usual,  $\pi(y)$  denotes the number of prime numbers  $\leq y$ . Clearly we have

$$(6.4) \quad 2^{-\pi(y)-1} (1 + \chi_4(p)) \prod_{q \leq y} (1 + \chi_p(q)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in \mathbb{P}_y, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \notin \mathbb{P}_y. \end{cases}$$

When  $p$  and  $q$  are odd primes with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.  $\chi_4(p) = 1$ , we infer by quadratic reciprocity law that

$$\chi_p(q) = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \chi_{q'}(p) \quad (q' := (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q).$$

Note also for odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\chi_p(2) = \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)_K = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \chi_8(p).$$

Thus we can replace  $\chi_p(q)$  by  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$  in (6.4) to write

$$\sum_{\substack{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y}} 1 = \frac{1}{2^{\pi(y)+1}} \sum_{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x} (1 + \chi_4(p)) \prod_{q \leq y} \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\right).$$

It is convenient to introduce the weight factor  $(\log p)(e^{-p/(2x)} - e^{-p/x})$  to the summands,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y}} 1 &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\pi(y)+2} \log x} \sum_{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x} (\log p)(e^{-p/(2x)} - e^{-p/x}) \times \\ &\quad \times (1 + \chi_4(p)) \prod_{q \leq y} \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We want to relax the range of the sum over  $p$ . To this end, we observe that by the prime number theorem and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{\pi(y)} \log x} \sum_{x \log x < p \leq x^2} (\log p)(e^{-p/(2x)} - e^{-p/x})(1 + \chi_4(p)) \prod_{q \leq y} \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\right) \\ \ll \sum_{x \log x < p \leq x^2} (e^{-p/(2x)} - e^{-p/x}) \\ \ll x^{1/2} / \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the preceding inequality, we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \log x \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y}} 1 \geq \frac{1}{(\log x) 2^{\pi(y)+2}} \sum_{m|P_y} (S_x(m) + S_x(4m)) + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log x}\right),$$

where  $\ell = 1$  or  $4$ , and

$$S_x(\ell m) := \sum_{x^{1/2} < p \leq x^2} (\log p)(e^{-p/(2x)} - e^{-p/x}) \chi_{\ell m}(p).$$

By the Perron formula, we can write

$$(6.6) \quad S_x(\ell m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} -\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi_{\ell m})(2^s - 1)\Gamma(s)x^s ds + O(x^{1/2} \log x).$$

We shift the line of integration to  $\sigma = -\frac{3}{4}$ . The function  $(2^s - 1)\Gamma(s)x^s$  has no pole in the strip  $-\frac{3}{4} \leq \sigma \leq 2$  since the pole of  $\Gamma(s)$  at  $s = 0$  is canceled by the zero of  $(2^s - 1)$ . Thus the only poles of the integrand in (6.6) occur at  $s = 1$  if  $\ell m = 1$  (note that  $L(s, \chi_1)$  is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function), or at the zeros  $\rho(\ell m) = \beta(\ell m) + i\gamma(\ell m)$  of  $L(s, \chi_{\ell m})$ . It follows that

$$S_x(\ell m) = \delta_{\ell m, 1} x - \sum_{\rho(\ell m)} (2^{\rho(\ell m)} - 1)\Gamma(\rho(\ell m))x^{\rho(\ell m)} + O(x^{1/2} \log x),$$

where  $\delta_{j,1} = 1$  if  $j = 1$  and  $0$  otherwise, and the sum is over all zeros with  $0 \leq \beta(\ell m) < 1$ .

We write  $N(T, \chi_{\ell m})$  for the number of zeros of  $L(s, \chi_{\ell m})$  in the rectangle  $0 < \beta(\ell m) < 1$  and  $|\gamma| \leq T$ . Then we have the classical bound

$$(6.7) \quad N(T, \chi_{\ell m}) \ll T \log(Tm),$$

which implies, for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(6.8) \quad N_\ell(\alpha) \leq \sum_{m|P_y} N(\log P_y, \chi_{\ell m}) \ll 2^{\pi(y)} y^2.$$

On the other hand, by means of  $(2^s - 1)\Gamma(s)x^s \ll x^\sigma |\tau| e^{-(\pi/2)|\tau|}$ , the contribution of the zeros with  $|\gamma(\ell m)| \geq \log P_y$  to  $S_x(\ell m)$  is  $\ll 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive number. The zeros with  $\beta(\ell m) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $|\gamma(\ell m)| \leq \log P_y$  contribute

$$\ll x^{1-\varepsilon} N(\log P_y, \chi_{\ell m}) \ll x^{1-\varepsilon} (\log P_y)^2 \ll x^{1-\varepsilon} y^2.$$

Combining these with (6.5), we conclude

$$(6.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{x^{1/2} < p \leq x \\ p \in \mathbb{P}_y}} 1 \geq \frac{x}{(\log x) 2^{\pi(y)+2}} + O\left(x^{1-\varepsilon} 2^{\pi(y)} y^2 + \frac{T_1(x, y) + T_4(x, y)}{(\log x) 2^{\pi(y)}}\right)$$

uniformly for  $x \geq 10$  and  $1 \leq y \leq x^{1/2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} T_\ell(x, y) &:= \sum_{m|P_y} \sum_{\substack{\rho(\ell m) \\ \beta(\ell m) \geq 1-\varepsilon, |\gamma(\ell m)| \leq \log P_y}} x^{\beta(\ell m)} \\ &= - \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 x^\alpha dN_\ell(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate  $T_\ell(x, y)$ . From now on we take  $y = y(x)$ . By integration by parts and by using (6.8), we can deduce

$$(6.10) \quad T_\ell(x, y) \ll x^{1-\varepsilon} 2^{\pi(y)} y^2 + x(\log x) I_\ell,$$

where

$$I_\ell := \int_0^\varepsilon x^{-\beta} N_\ell(1 - \beta) d\beta.$$

Let  $\eta = \eta(y)$  and  $\eta_1 = \eta_1(y)$  be defined as in Lemmas 3 and 4, respectively. Set  $\eta_2 := 2y(x)/(\log x) \log y$ . It is easy to verify that  $0 < \eta < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \varepsilon$ . (The inequality  $\eta_1 < \eta_2$  governs the lower bound of  $y(x)$  in (6.1).) Thus we can divide the interval  $[0, \varepsilon]$  into four subintervals  $[0, \eta]$ ,  $[\eta, \eta_1]$ ,  $[\eta_1, \eta_2]$  and  $[\eta_2, \varepsilon]$ , and denote by  $I_{\ell,0}$ ,  $I_{\ell,1}$ ,  $I_{\ell,2}$  and  $I_{\ell,3}$  the corresponding contribution to  $I_\ell$ . Plainly we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \log_3 P_y \leq \frac{\eta}{4} \log x, \quad \frac{C_2 \log P_y}{\sqrt{\log_2 P_y}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \log x, \quad \frac{C_2 \log P_y}{\log(1/\eta_2)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log x, \quad \frac{y}{\log y} = \frac{\eta_2}{2} \log x.$$

(The third inequality governs the upper bound of  $y(x)$  in (6.1).) From Lemma 4 and (6.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\ell,1} &\ll \int_\eta^{\eta_1} \exp\left\{-\beta \log x + \frac{C_2 \beta \log P_y}{\sqrt{\log_2 P_y}} + \frac{1}{2} \log_3 P_y\right\} d\beta \ll \frac{x^{-\eta/2}}{\log x}, \\ I_{\ell,2} &\ll \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \exp\left\{-\beta \log x + \frac{C_2 \beta \log P_y}{\log(1/\beta)}\right\} d\beta \ll \frac{x^{-\eta_1/2}}{\log x}, \\ I_{\ell,3} &\ll \int_{\eta_2}^\varepsilon \exp\left\{-\beta \log x + \frac{y}{\log y}\right\} d\beta \ll \frac{x^{-\eta_2/2}}{\log x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all of them satisfy

$$I_{\ell,i} = o((\log x)^{-1}) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

If we assume that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_y)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_y)$  have no zeros in the region (5.4) for all  $y \geq 100$ , then  $I_{\ell,0} = 0$ . Otherwise we use Lemma 3 to ensure the existence of  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $I_{\ell,0} = 0$ .

With (6.10), our conclusion is

$$T_{\ell}(x_n, y_n) = o\left(\frac{x_n}{(\log x_n)2^{\pi(y_n)}}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

or

$$T_{\ell}(x, y) = o\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)2^{\pi(y)}}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

under the assumption that both  $\mathbf{L}_1(s, P_y)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_4(s, P_y)$  have no exceptional zeros. Clearly this and (6.9) imply the required result. This completes the proof of Proposition.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.

Taking  $Q_n = x_n \log x_n$  and  $y(x) = 100\delta \log x$  in Proposition and noticing that  $p \in \mathbb{P}_y \Rightarrow n_{\chi_p} \geq y$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{(Q_n / \log Q_n)^{1/2} < p \leq Q_n \\ n_{\chi_p} \geq 100\delta \log Q_n}} 1 \gg Q_n e^{-c_1(\log Q_n) / \log_2 Q_n}.$$

It implies the first assertion of Theorem 3, and the second one can be treated similarly. This concludes Theorem 3.  $\square$

## § 7. Proof of Theorem 4

Let  $1 < c < \frac{32}{29}$  and  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary but sufficiently small positive constant. The upshot is to show

$$(7.1) \quad n_{\chi_{p'}, c} \ll n_{\chi_{p'}}^{9/(16-10c)+\varepsilon}$$

whenever  $n_{\chi_{p'}} \geq N_0(c, \varepsilon)$  for some suitably large constant  $N_0(c, \varepsilon)$  depending only on  $c$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Once (7.1) is established, the required results follow from Burgess' upper bound (1.5) or (1.11).

To prove (7.1), we make use of the observation that the integer  $mn_{\chi_{p'}}$  is quadratic non-residue for any integer  $m < n_{\chi_{p'}}$ . Now, we want to find a positive  $M (< \frac{1}{2}n_{\chi_{p'}})$  as small as possible such that

$$(7.2) \quad [n^c] = mn_{\chi_{p'}}$$

for some integers  $m \in (M, 2M]$  and  $n > 1$ . This implies

$$(7.3) \quad n_{\chi_{p'}, c} \ll (Mn_{\chi_{p'}})^{1/c}$$

which leads to (7.1) with a suitable estimate on  $M$ .

Apparently, (7.2) is equivalent to

$$(7.4) \quad (mn_{\chi_{p'}})^{1/c} \leq n < (mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}.$$

Denote by  $\{x\}$  the fractional part of  $x$ . Then (7.4) holds if

$$(7.5) \quad 0 < \{(mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}\} \leq (2^{1/c-2}/c)(Mn_{\chi_{p'}})^{1/c-1} =: \Delta < 1 \quad (c > 1),$$

since

$$(mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c} - (mn_{\chi_{p'}})^{1/c} \geq (1/c)(2Mn_{\chi_{p'}})^{1/c-1}.$$

Let  $\delta_\Delta(t)$  be the periodic function of period 1 such that  $\delta_\Delta(t) = 1$  if  $t \in (0, \Delta]$  and  $= 0$  if  $t \in (\Delta, 1]$ . Then (7.5) will follow from

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \delta_\Delta((mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}) > 0.$$

Introducing the function  $\psi(t) := \frac{1}{2} - \{t\}$ , we can express

$$\delta_\Delta(t) = \Delta + \psi(\Delta - t) - \psi(-t).$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \delta_\Delta((mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}) = \Delta M + R,$$

where

$$R := \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} (\psi(\Delta - (mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}) - \psi(-(mn_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c})).$$

Consider respectively

$$f(t) = \Delta - ((M+t)n_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}, \quad f(t) = -((M+t)n_{\chi_{p'}} + 1)^{1/c}.$$

Then the treatment of  $R$  is reduced to the sum  $\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \psi(f(m))$ , which can be handled using a recent result in [22] via third derivative of  $f(t)$ . Applying Theorem 2 of [22], we obtain

$$R \ll_{c,\varepsilon} \left\{ M(M^{1/c-3}n_{\chi_{p'}}^{1/c})^{3/19} + M^{3/4} + (M^{1/c-3}n_{\chi_{p'}}^{1/c})^{-1/3} \right\} M^\varepsilon.$$

Thus (7.6) will hold provided

$$M^{1-\varepsilon} \geq n_{\chi_{p'}}^{(19c-16)/(16-10c)}.$$

Taking  $M = n_{\chi_{p'}}^{(19c-16)/(16-10c)+\varepsilon}$ , it follows that

$$R \leq C_0(c, \varepsilon) n_{\chi_{p'}}^{\varepsilon(10c-16)/19c} M^\varepsilon \Delta M$$

for  $n_{\chi_{p'}} \geq N_1(c, \varepsilon)$  where  $C_0(c, \varepsilon)$  and  $N_1(c, \varepsilon)$  are absolute constants depending only on  $c$  and  $\varepsilon$ . The hypothesis  $1 < c < \frac{32}{29}$  yields that  $M < \frac{1}{2}n_{\chi_{p'}}$  for all sufficiently large  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$ . Furthermore, this hypothesis ensures that the exponent of  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$  is negative and hence  $R$  is suppressed by  $\Delta M$  for all large  $n_{\chi_{p'}}$ . Consequently, we derive (7.6) for  $n_{\chi_{p'}} \geq N_2(c, \varepsilon)$ , and therefore (7.1) by inserting the value of  $M$  into (7.3). The proof of Theorem 4 is thus complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N.C. ANKENY, The least quadratic non residue, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **55** (1952), 65–72.
- [2] S. BAIER, A remark on the least  $n$  with  $\chi(n) \neq 1$ , *Preprint*.
- [3] D.A. BURGESS, The distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues. *Mathematika* **4** (1957), 106–112.

- [4] D.A. BURGESS, On character sums and  $L$ -series. II, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **13** 1963 524–536.
- [5] D.A. BURGESS, The character sum estimate with  $r = 3$ , *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **33** (1986), 219–226.
- [6] W. DUKE & E. KOWALSKI, A problem of Linnik for elliptic curves and mean-value estimates for automorphic representations. With an appendix by Dinakar Ramakrishnan. *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), no. 1, 1–39.
- [7] P.D.T.A. ELLIOTT, A problem of Erdős concerning power residue sums. *Acta Arith.* **13** (1967/1968), 131–149.
- [8] P.D.T.A. ELLIOTT, The distribution of power residues and certain related results. *Acta Arith.* **17** (1970), 141–159.
- [9] P.D.T.A. ELLIOTT, On the mean value of  $f(p)$ , *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **21** (1970), 28–796.
- [10] P. ERDŐS, MR 0045159 on the paper [1] by N.C. Ankeny.
- [11] P. ERDŐS, Remarks on number theory. I. *Mat. Lapok* **12** 1961, 10–17.
- [12] V.R. FRIDLENDER, On the least  $n$ -th power non-residue, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **66** (1949), 351–352.
- [13] M.Z. GARAEV, A note on the least quadratic non-residue of the integer-sequences, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **68** (2003), 1–11.
- [14] S.W. GRAHAM & C.J. RINGROSE, Lower bounds for least quadratic nonresidues. Analytic number theory, 269–309, *Progr. Math.*, 85, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990.
- [15] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, A mean value estimate for real character sums, *Acta Arith.* **72** (1995), 235–275.
- [16] M.N. HUXLEY, *Area, lattice points, and exponential sums*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, **13**, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996. xii+494 pp.
- [17] E. KOWALSKI, Variants of recognition problems for modular forms, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **84** (2005), no. 1, 57–70.
- [18] U.V. LINNIK, A remark on the least quadratic non-residue, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **36** (1942), 119–120.
- [19] H. MAIER, Chains of large gaps between consecutive primes, *Adv. in Math.* **39** (3) (1981), 257–269
- [20] H.L. MONTGOMERY, *Topics in multiplicative number theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **227**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [21] H.L. MONTGOMERY & R.C. VAUGHAN, Extreme values of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions at 1, in: *Number theory in progress*, Vol. 2, Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997 (K. Györy, H. Iwaniec & J. Urbanowicz, Eds), 1039–1052, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.
- [22] O. ROBERT & P. SARGOS, A third derivative test for mean values of exponential sums with application to lattice point problems, *Acta Arith.* **106** (2003), 27–39.
- [23] H. SALIÉ, Über den kleinsten positiven quadratischen Nichtrest nach einer Primzahl, *Math. Nachr.* **3** (1949), 7–8.
- [24] I.M. VINOGRADOV, Sur la distribution des résidus et non résidus de puissances, *Permski J. Phys. Isp. Ob. -wa* **1** (1918), 18–28 and 94–98.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD,  
HONG KONG

*E-mail:* `yklau@maths.hku.hk`

INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN, UMR 7502 UHP CNRS INRIA, UNIVERSITÉ HENRI POINCARÉ  
(NANCY 1), 54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE

*E-mail:* `wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr`