

# Convexity and Star-shapedness of Real Linear Images of Special Orthogonal Orbits

Pan-Shun Lau<sup>\*1</sup>, Tuen-Wai Ng<sup>†1</sup>, and Nam-Kiu Tsing<sup>‡1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

August 22, 2016

---

## Abstract

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\text{SO}_n := \{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : UU^t = I_n, \det U > 0\}$  be the set of  $n \times n$  special orthogonal matrices. Define the (real) special orthogonal orbit of  $A$  by

$$O(A) := \{UAV : U, V \in \text{SO}_n\}.$$

In this paper, we show that the linear image of  $O(A)$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin for arbitrary linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  if  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ . In particular, for linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and when  $A$  has distinct singular values, we study  $B \in O(A)$  such that  $L(B)$  is a boundary point of  $L(O(A))$ . This gives an alternative proof of a result by Li and Tam on the convexity of  $L(O(A))$  for linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .

*AMS Classification:* 15A04, 15A18.

*Keywords:* linear transformation, special orthogonal orbits, convexity, star-shapedness

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{O}_n := \{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : U^t U = U U^t = I_n\}$  and  $\text{SO}_n := \{U \in \mathcal{O}_n : \det U > 0\}$  be the sets of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices and  $n \times n$  special orthogonal matrices respectively. For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we define the special orthogonal orbit of  $A$  by

$$O(A) := \{UAV : U, V \in \text{SO}_n\}.$$

It is clear that every element in  $O(A)$  has the same collection of singular values and the same sign of determinant. In [9], Thompson studied the set of diagonals of the matrices in  $O(A)$ , and in [8], Miranda and Thompson studied the

---

<sup>\*</sup>panlau@hku.hk

<sup>†</sup>ntw@maths.hku.hk

<sup>‡</sup>nktsing@hku.hk

characterizations of extreme values of  $L(O(A))$  where  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear map.

A set  $S$  is said to be star-shaped with respect to  $c \in S$  if for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)c \in S$ . The  $c$  is called a star center of  $S$ . In this paper, we shall study the star-shapedness of images of  $O(A)$  under arbitrary linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ .

In fact the study of linear images of matrix orbits is a popular topic. If  $A, C$  are  $n \times n$  complex matrices and  $\mathcal{U}_n$  denotes the group of  $n \times n$  (complex) unitary matrices, then the (classical) numerical range of  $A$ , denoted by  $W(A)$ , and the  $C$ -numerical range of  $A$ , denoted by  $W_C(A)$ , are simply the images of the unitary orbit of  $A$ , denoted by

$$\mathcal{U}_n(A) := \{U^*AU : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\},$$

under the linear maps

$$X \mapsto \text{tr}(E_1 X) \quad \text{and} \quad X \mapsto \text{tr}(CX)$$

respectively, where  $E_1$  is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $1, 0, \dots, 0$ . It has been proved that  $W(A)$  is always convex and  $W_C(A)$  is always star-shaped (see [1], [2], [10]). Many results on the convexity and the star-shapedness of other generalized numerical ranges, which can be expressed as some particular linear images of  $\mathcal{U}_n(A)$ , have been obtained (e.g., see [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [11], [12]).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study an inclusion relation of  $L(O(A))$  with  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  and  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ . We then apply the inclusion relation to show that  $L(O(A))$  is star-shaped for general  $A$  and  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  where  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ . In particular, the star-shapedness holds for  $L(O(A))$  with  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Moreover, we shall extend our results to linear images of the following joint (real) orthogonal orbits,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(A_1 V, \dots, A_m V) : V \in G\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_2(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(U A_1, \dots, U A_m) : U \in G\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(U A_1 V, \dots, U A_m V) : U, V \in G\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$  or  $\text{SO}_n$ . In Section 3, we study boundary points of  $L(O(A))$  with  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . When  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has distinct singular values, we shall discuss the conditions on  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  under which  $L(UAV)$  will be a boundary point of  $L(O(A))$ . Then we show that the intersection of  $L(O(A))$  and any of its supporting lines is path-connected. Combining the result in Section 2, convexity of  $L(O(A))$  for  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  then follows. This result was proved by Li and Tam [7] with a different approach. We shall also discuss the convexity of linear images of joint orthogonal orbits.

## 2 Star-shapedness of linear image of $O(A)$

The following is the first main theorem in this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\ell \geq 3$ . For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and any linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  with  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ ,  $L(O(A))$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin.*

We need some lemmas to prove Theorem 2.1. Note that any linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  can be expressed as

$$L(X) = (\text{tr}(P_1 X), \dots, \text{tr}(P_\ell X))^t$$

for some  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . For convenience, for  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; M) := \{(\text{tr}(P_1 X), \dots, \text{tr}(P_\ell X))^t : X \in M\}.$$

For  $A, P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we let  $\mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  be the set containing  $(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell)$  where  $P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell; O(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; O(A))$ . This definition is motivated by Cheung and Tsing [1]. Below are some basic properties of  $\mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . For any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the followings hold:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{S}_{XAY}(UP_1V, \dots, UP_\ell V) = \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  for any  $U, V, X, Y \in \text{SO}_n$ ;
- (b)  $(UP_1V, \dots, UP_\ell V) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ , for any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{S}_A(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  for any  $(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ ;
- (d)  $\mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; O(A)) = \{(\text{tr}(P'_1 A), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell A))^t : (P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)\}$ .

*Proof.* (a), (b) and (c) are trivial. For (d), “ $\subseteq$ ” follows from (b) and “ $\supseteq$ ” follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The following statements are equivalent (hence if one of these statements holds then the other three must also hold):*

- (a)  $L(O(A))$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and any linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  is star-shaped with respect to  $(0_n, \dots, 0_n)$  for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , where  $0_n$  is the  $n \times n$  zero matrix;
- (c)  $L(\text{SO}_n)$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin for any linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ;
- (d)  $\mathcal{S}_{I_n}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  is star-shaped with respect to  $(0_n, \dots, 0_n)$  for any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

*Proof.* ((a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)) For any  $(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ ,  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we have

$$(\text{tr}(\alpha P'_1 U A V), \dots, \text{tr}(\alpha P'_\ell U A V))^t \in \mathcal{L}(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell; O(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; O(A)).$$

Hence  $\alpha(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ .

((b) $\Rightarrow$ (a)) Apply Lemma 2.2 (b).

((a) $\Rightarrow$ (c)) If we take  $A = I_n$ , then  $O(A) = \text{SO}_n$ .

((c) $\Rightarrow$ (a)) Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be linear and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . For any  $U \in \text{SO}_n$ , define linear map  $L_{UA} : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  by

$$L_{UA}(X) = L(UAX).$$

For any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , since  $L_{UA}(\text{SO}_n)$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin, there exists  $V' \in \text{SO}_n$  such that

$$\alpha L(UAV) = \alpha L_{UA}(V) = L_{UA}(V') = L(UAV') \in L(O(A)).$$

((c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d)) Apply similar arguments as those in (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b).  $\square$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we apply Lemma 2.3 and show the star-shapedness of  $\mathcal{S}_{I_n}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  for any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ . For simplicity, we denote  $\mathcal{S}_{I_n}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  by  $\mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ . In fact, by the following lemma, we may focus only on the case of  $n = 2^{\ell-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{S}(\hat{P}_1, \dots, \hat{P}_\ell)$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin for all  $\hat{P}_1, \dots, \hat{P}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , then for all  $m > n$  and for all  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin.*

*Proof.* Let  $m = n + k$  where  $k$  is a positive integer. For any  $(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ , we write

$$P'_i = \begin{bmatrix} P'_{i1} & P'_{i2} \\ P'_{i3} & P'_{i4} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $P'_{i1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $P'_{i4} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ . We shall show that  $(P'_1(\epsilon), \dots, P'_\ell(\epsilon)) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  where  $P'_i(\epsilon) = (\epsilon I_n \oplus I_k)P'_i$  and  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ . For any  $U \in \text{SO}_m$ , we write

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $U_4 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ . Then for  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ , by the hypothesis of the lemma, there exists  $V \in \text{SO}_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{tr}(P'_1(\epsilon)U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell(\epsilon)U))^t \\ &= \epsilon (\text{tr}(P'_{11}U_1 + P'_{12}U_3), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_{\ell 1}U_1 + P'_{\ell 2}U_3))^t \\ & \quad + (\text{tr}(P'_{13}U_2 + P'_{14}U_4), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_{\ell 3}U_2 + P'_{\ell 4}U_4))^t \\ &= \left( \text{tr}[(P'_{11}U_1 + P'_{12}U_3)V], \dots, \text{tr}[(P'_{\ell 1}U_1 + P'_{\ell 2}U_3)V] \right)^t \\ & \quad + \left( \text{tr}(P'_{13}U_2 + P'_{14}U_4), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_{\ell 3}U_2 + P'_{\ell 4}U_4) \right)^t \\ &= \left( \text{tr}[P'_1U(V \oplus I_k)], \dots, \text{tr}[P'_\ell U(V \oplus I_k)] \right)^t \\ &\in \mathcal{L}(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell; \text{SO}_m) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $U \in \text{SO}_m$ , we have  $(P'_1(\epsilon), \dots, P'_\ell(\epsilon)) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ . Note that the preceding result also holds if we multiply arbitrary  $n$  rows of  $P'_i$  by  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ . We re-apply the result by considering all  $n$ -combinations of rows to obtain  $\epsilon^N (P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ , where  $N = \frac{m!}{n!k!}$ . For any  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we put  $\epsilon = \sqrt[n]{\alpha}$  to obtain  $\alpha(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ .  $\square$

We now consider the following recursively defined matrices. Let

$$R(\theta_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 \\ -\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_k I_N & \sin \theta_k R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1}) \\ -\sin \theta_k R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1})^t & \cos \theta_k I_N \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $N = 2^{k-1}$ . Note that  $R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \text{SO}_{2^k}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  where  $N = 2^{\ell-1}$ . Then for any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_N$ , the set*

$$E(U, V) :=$$

$$\left\{ \left( \text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1}) U P_1 V), \dots, \text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1}) U P_\ell V) \right)^t : \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1} \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}$$

*is an ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  centered at the origin and is a subset of  $\mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_N)$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  where  $N = 2^{\ell-1}$ ,

$$\text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1}) A) = [a_1 \quad \dots \quad a_\ell] \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{\ell-1} \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \cos \theta_{\ell-2} \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \sin \theta_{\ell-2} \cos \theta_{\ell-3} \\ \vdots \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \sin \theta_{\ell-2} \cdots \sin \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$  by induction on  $\ell$ . The case for  $\ell = 2$  is trivial. Now assume it is true for  $\ell \leq m$  where  $m \geq 2$  and consider  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2^m \times 2^m}$  where  $M = 2^{m-1}$ . We write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Then

$$\text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) A) = \cos \theta_m \text{tr}(A_1 + A_4) + \sin \theta_m \text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-1})(A_3 - A_2^t)).$$

By induction assumption on  $\text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-1})(A_3 - A_2^t))$ ,  $\text{tr}(R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) A)$  is in the desired form. Hence we have

$$E(U, V) = \left\{ T \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{\ell-1} \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \cos \theta_{\ell-2} \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \sin \theta_{\ell-2} \cos \theta_{\ell-3} \\ \vdots \\ \sin \theta_{\ell-1} \sin \theta_{\ell-2} \cdots \sin \theta_1 \end{bmatrix} : \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1} \in [0, 2\pi] \right\},$$

for some  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$  and hence  $E(U, V)$  is an ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  centered at the origin. As  $R(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$  is a special orthogonal matrix,  $E(U, V) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_N)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\ell \geq 3$ . For any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  where  $N = 2^{\ell-1}$ , there exist  $U, V \in \text{SO}_N$  such that  $E(U, V)$  defined in Lemma 2.5 degenerates (i.e.,  $E(U, V)$  is contained in an affine hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ ).*

*Proof.* From the proof of Lemma 2.5, we see that if there exist  $U, V \in \text{SO}_N$  such that

$$UP_1V = \begin{bmatrix} P_1^{(1)} & P_2^{(1)} \\ P_3^{(1)} & P_4^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $P_i^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{N}{2} \times \frac{N}{2}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $\text{tr}(P_1^{(1)} + P_4^{(1)}) = 0$  and  $P_2^{(1)} = P_3^{(1)} = 0$ , then the first coordinate of  $E(U, V)$  is always 0 and hence  $E(U, V)$  degenerates. Let  $U', V' \in \text{SO}_N$  be such that  $U'P_1V' = \text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_N)$ . Then

$$U = U', \quad V = V' \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

will give the desired  $UP_1V$ .  $\square$

Note that, by considering  $P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then for any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_2$ , the ellipse  $E(U, V)$  defined in Lemma 2.5 is always non-degenerate. Hence Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.1 fail to hold for  $\ell = 2$ .

We are now ready to prove our first main result.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that for any  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  with  $N = 2^{\ell-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  is star-shaped with respect to  $(0_N, \dots, 0_N)$ . Let  $(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . For any  $U \in \text{SO}_N$ , we define  $E(I_N, U)$  as in Lemma 2.5. If  $\alpha(\text{tr}(P'_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell U))^t \in E(I_N, U)$ , then we have

$$\alpha(\text{tr}(P'_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell U))^t \in \mathcal{L}(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell; \text{SO}_N) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_N).$$

Assume now  $\alpha(\text{tr}(P'_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell U))^t \notin E(I_N, U)$ . As the center of  $E(I_N, U)$  is the origin, we have  $\alpha(\text{tr}(P'_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell U))^t$  lies inside the ellipsoid  $E(I_N, U)$ . As  $\text{SO}_N \times \text{SO}_N$  is path connected, consider a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SO}_N \times \text{SO}_N$  with  $f(0) = (I_N, U)$  and  $f(1) = (U', V')$  where  $(U', V')$  are defined in Lemma 2.6. Then by continuity of  $f$ , there exists  $s \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha(\text{tr}(P'_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(P'_\ell U))^t \in E(f(s)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell; \text{SO}_N) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_N)$ . As it is true for all  $U \in \text{SO}_N$ , we have

$$\alpha(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) + (1 - \alpha)(0_n, \dots, 0_n) = \alpha(P'_1, \dots, P'_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}(P_1, \dots, P_\ell).$$

$\square$

In fact for  $\ell = 2$ , we have the following theorem, the proof of which is given by Lemma 2.8 to Corollary 2.11.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map with  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $L(O(A))$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin.*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . For any  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $U \in \text{SO}_n$ , the locus of the point  $(\text{tr}(T_\theta P U), \text{tr}(T_\theta Q U))^t$  where  $T_\theta = R(\theta) \oplus I_{n-2}$  forms an ellipse  $E(U)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  when  $\theta$  runs through  $[0, 2\pi]$ .*

*Proof.* We write

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p(1)}{P(3)} \\ \frac{p(2)}{P(3)} \\ P(3) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q(1)}{Q(3)} \\ \frac{q(2)}{Q(3)} \\ Q(3) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = [u^{(1)} \mid u^{(2)} \mid U^{(3)}]$$

where  $p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, u^{(1)}, u^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P^{(3)}, Q^{(3)}, U^{(3)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-2)}$ . Direct computation shows

$$\text{tr}(T_\theta P U) = \cos \theta (p_{(1)} u^{(1)} + p_{(2)} u^{(2)}) + \sin \theta (p_{(2)} u^{(1)} - p_{(1)} u^{(2)}) + \text{tr}(P^{(3)} U^{(3)}).$$

Similarly for  $\text{tr}(T_\theta Q U)$ . Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}(T_\theta P U) \\ \text{tr}(T_\theta Q U) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{(1)} u^{(1)} + p_{(2)} u^{(2)} & p_{(2)} u^{(1)} - p_{(1)} u^{(2)} \\ q_{(1)} u^{(1)} + q_{(2)} u^{(2)} & q_{(2)} u^{(1)} - q_{(1)} u^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}(P^{(3)} U^{(3)}) \\ \text{tr}(Q^{(3)} U^{(3)}) \end{bmatrix},$$

the locus of which forms an ellipse (possibly degenerate) when  $\theta$  runs through  $[0, 2\pi]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *For any  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $n \geq 3$ , there exists  $U_0 \in \text{SO}_n$  such that the ellipse  $E(U_0)$  defined in Lemma 2.8 degenerates.*

*Proof.* Note that  $E(U)$  degenerates if we find orthonormal vectors  $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{(1)} u^{(1)} + p_{(2)} u^{(2)} & p_{(2)} u^{(1)} - p_{(1)} u^{(2)} \\ q_{(1)} u^{(1)} + q_{(2)} u^{(2)} & q_{(2)} u^{(1)} - q_{(1)} u^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is singular. We will show that for any given  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exist orthonormal vectors  $u_1, u_2$  such that  $p_1^t u_2 = p_2^t u_1 = p_1^t u_1 + p_2^t u_2 = 0$ . By scaling and rotating, we assume without loss of generality that  $p_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  and  $p_2 = (a, b, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ . If  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , we can take  $u_1 = (-b, 0, \sqrt{1-b^2}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  and  $u_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ . Now, assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $0 < b \leq 1$ . For  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  consider unit vectors

$$v_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad w_\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 \sin^2 \theta + a^2}} \begin{bmatrix} -b \sin \theta \\ a \sin \theta \\ -a \cos \theta \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $p_1^t v_\theta = p_2^t w_\theta = v_\theta^t w_\theta = 0$ . Define  $f(\theta) = p_1 w_\theta + p_2 v_\theta = b \cos \theta - \frac{b \sin \theta}{\sqrt{b^2 \sin^2 \theta + a^2}}$  which is a continuous function with  $f(0) = b$  and  $f(\pi) = -b$ . Hence there exists  $\theta' \in [0, \pi]$  such that  $f(\theta') = 0$ . Then we take  $u_2 = v_{\theta'}$  and  $u_1 = w_{\theta'}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** For  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$  we define

$$P_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon I_2 & \\ & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} P \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon I_2 & \\ & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} Q.$$

Then  $(P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(P, Q)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $U \in \text{SO}_n$ , consider the ellipse  $E(U)$  defined in Lemma 2.8. If  $(\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U))^t \in E(U)$ , then we have  $(\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U))^t \in \mathcal{L}(P, Q; \text{SO}_n)$ . Now assume that  $(\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U))^t \notin E(U)$ . Then  $(\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U))^t$  lies inside the ellipse  $E(U)$ . Since  $\text{SO}_n$  is path-connected, consider a continuous function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SO}_n$  with  $f(0) = U$  and  $f(1) = U_0$  where  $U_0$  is defined in Lemma 2.9. Since  $E(f(1))$  degenerates, by continuity of  $f$ , there exist  $s \in [0, 1]$  such that  $(\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U))^t \in E(f(s)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P, Q; \text{SO}_n)$ . As it is true for all  $U \in \text{SO}_n$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon; \text{SO}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P, Q; \text{SO}_n)$  and hence  $(P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(P, Q)$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2.10 remains valid if we consider  $\mathcal{S}_A(P, Q)$  instead of  $\mathcal{S}(P, Q)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . For any  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ , we define

$$P_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon I_2 & \\ & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} P \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon I_2 & \\ & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} Q.$$

Then  $(P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{S}_A(P, Q)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$ , let  $P' = PUA V$ ,  $Q = QUAV$ ,  $P'_\epsilon = (\epsilon I_2 \oplus I_{n-2})P' = P_\epsilon U A V$  and  $Q'_\epsilon = (\epsilon I_2 \oplus I_{n-2})Q' = Q_\epsilon U A V$ . By Lemma 2.10, because  $(P'_\epsilon, Q'_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(P', Q')$ , there exists  $W \in \text{SO}_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{tr}(P_\epsilon U A V), \text{tr}(Q_\epsilon U A V))^t &= (\text{tr}P'_\epsilon, \text{tr}Q'_\epsilon)^t \\ &= (\text{tr}(P'W), \text{tr}(Q'W))^t \\ &= (\text{tr}(PUAVW), \text{tr}(QUAVW))^t \\ &\in \mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A)). \end{aligned}$$

As this is true for all  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon; O(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A))$ .  $\square$

Note that in Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.11,  $P_\epsilon, Q_\epsilon$  can be defined by picking arbitrary two rows of  $P$  and  $Q$  instead of the first two rows. We are now ready to prove our second main theorem.



*Proof of Theorem 2.7.* By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that for all  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(P, Q)$  is star-shaped with respect to  $(0_n, 0_n)$ . Let  $(P', Q') \in \mathcal{S}(P, Q)$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . We apply Lemma 2.10 repeatedly to every two rows of  $P, Q$ . Then we have  $(\epsilon^N P', \epsilon^N Q') \in \mathcal{S}(P', Q') \subseteq \mathcal{S}(P, Q)$  where  $N = \frac{n!}{2(n-2)!}$ . Taking  $\epsilon = \sqrt[n]{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\alpha(P', Q') = \alpha(P', Q') + (1 - \alpha)(0_n, 0_n) \in \mathcal{S}(P, Q).$$

□

For the case of  $\ell = 2$  and  $\ell = 3$ , we know that  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$  are respectively the smallest integers such that  $L(O(A))$  is star-shaped for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and all linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ . However, for  $\ell \geq 4$ ,  $n = 2^{\ell-1}$  may not be the smallest integer to ensure star-shapedness of  $L(O(A))$ . One may ask the following question.

**Problem 1.** For a given  $\ell \geq 4$ , what is the smallest  $n$  such that  $L(\text{SO}_n)$  is star-shaped for all linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ?

The preceding results on star-shapedness of  $L(O(A))$  can be easily generalized to the following joint orbits. We let  $(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m := \{(A_1, \dots, A_m) : A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\}$ .

**Definition 1.** For any  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(A_1 V, \dots, A_m V) : V \in G\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_2(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(U A_1, \dots, U A_m) : U \in G\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m; G) &:= \{(U A_1 V, \dots, U A_m V) : U, V \in G\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$  or  $\text{SO}_n$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $L : (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be linear,  $(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m$  and  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$  or  $\text{SO}_n$ . If

- (i)  $\ell = 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ , or
- (ii)  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2^{\ell-1}$ ,

then  $L(\mathcal{O}_i(A_1, \dots, A_m; G))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , are star-shaped with respect to the origin.

*Proof.* The case of  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$  can be derived from the case  $G = \text{SO}_n$  easily. Hence we consider the case  $G = \text{SO}_n$  only and simply denote  $\mathcal{O}_i(A_1, \dots, A_m; \text{SO}_n)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_i(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ . For any given  $L : (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ , express it by

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \left( \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} X_i \right), \dots, \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} X_i \right) \right)^t,$$

for some  $P_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, \ell$ . For  $\mathbf{O}_1(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\mathbf{O}_1(A_1, \dots, A_m)) \\ &= \left\{ \left( \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} A_i U \right), \dots, \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} A_i U \right) \right)^t : U \in \operatorname{SO}_n \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} A_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} A_i; \operatorname{SO}_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $L(\mathbf{O}_2(A_1, \dots, A_m))$ . Hence the star-shapedness follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.7.

Now consider the case of  $\mathbf{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ . For any  $U, V \in \operatorname{SO}_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(UA_1V, \dots, UA_mV) &= \left( \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} UA_iV \right), \dots, \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} UA_iV \right) \right)^t \\ &\in \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} UA_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} UA_i; \operatorname{SO}_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

By star-shapedness of  $\mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} UA_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} UA_i; \operatorname{SO}_N \right)$ , for any  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha L(UA_1V, \dots, UA_mV) &\in \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(1)} UA_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m P_i^{(\ell)} UA_i; \operatorname{SO}_N \right)^t \\ &\subseteq L(\mathbf{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m)). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Convexity of linear image of $O(A)$

We first give two non-convex examples, one is a linear image of  $O(A)$  under  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 3$  and another is a linear image of  $\mathbf{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  under  $L : (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 2$ .

**Example 1.** Consider  $O(I_n) = \operatorname{SO}_n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and the linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 3$  defined by

$$L(X) = (\operatorname{tr}(P_1 X), \dots, \operatorname{tr}(P_\ell X))^t$$

where

$$P_1 = I_{n-2} \oplus 0_2, \quad P_2 = I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 = I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $P_j = 0_n$  for  $j = 4, \dots, \ell$ . The mid-point of points  $L(I_n) = (n-2, n-1, n-2, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  and  $L\left(I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = (n-2, n-2, n-1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  is in  $L(P_1, \dots, P_\ell; \text{SO}_n)$  only if there exists  $U \in \text{SO}_n$  having the form

$$U = I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $u_{11} = \frac{1}{2} = u_{21}$ . This is impossible as  $u_{11}^2 + u_{21}^2 = 1$ . Hence  $L(\text{SO}_n)$  is non-convex.

**Example 2.** For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$ , consider the matrices,

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-3}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-3}, \quad A_j = 0_n, \quad j = 3, \dots, m,$$

and the linear map  $L : (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  defined by

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_m) := (\text{tr}(A_1 X_1 + A_2 X_2), \text{tr}(A_2 X_1 - A_1 X_2), 0, \dots, 0)^t.$$

By taking  $U = V = I_n$ , and  $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3}$ ,  $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3}$

respectively, we have  $(2, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^t, (0, 2, 0, \dots, 0)^t \in L(\mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m))$ . We shall show that their mid-point which is  $(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t \notin L(\mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m))$ .

For any  $U = [u_{ij}], V = [v_{ij}] \in \text{SO}_n$ , by direct computation we have

$$UA_1V = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11}v_{11} & * & * \\ * & u_{21}v_{12} & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad UA_2V = \begin{bmatrix} u_{12}v_{13} & * & * \\ * & u_{22}v_{22} & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence  $(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in L(\mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m))$  only if  $u_{11}v_{11} + u_{22}v_{22} = 1 = u_{21}v_{12} - u_{12}v_{13}$  for some  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$ . We shall show that such  $U, V$  do not exist. For  $X = (x_{ij}), Y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , denote  $X \circ Y := (x_{ij}y_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Since each absolute row (column) sum of  $U \circ V$  is not greater than one, we have  $(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in L(\mathcal{O}_3(A_1, \dots, A_m))$  only if there exist  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  such that

$$U \circ V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad U \circ V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

The possible choices of the leading  $2 \times 2$  principal submatrices of  $U$  and  $V$  are

$$\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k_1 \\ -k_2 & k_1 k_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $k_1, k_2 = \pm 1$ . However, any two of them will not give the  $U \circ V$  as required.

From the above two examples we know that  $L(O(A))$  is not convex in general. However if the codomain of  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  then  $L(O(A))$  is always convex. This result was obtained by Li and Tam [7] by using techniques in Lie algebra. In the following, we shall give an alternative proof on this result by showing that  $L(O(A))$  has convex boundary for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and linear  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., the intersection of  $L(O(A))$  with any of its supporting lines is path connected. Combining with the star-shapedness property of  $L(O(A))$ , the convexity of  $L(O(A))$  follows. We first need some notations.

**Definition 2.** For  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we denote its diagonal as  $d(A) = (a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn})^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We further denote the sum of the first  $k$  diagonal elements of  $A$  by  $t_k(A)$ . Moreover for  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we denote  $r(P, A) = \max\{\text{tr}(PUAV) : U, V \in \text{SO}_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_P(A) = \{B \in O(A) : \text{tr}(PB) = r(P, A)\}$ .

We shall characterize the set  $\mathcal{G}_P(A)$  when  $A$  has distinct singular values and then show that it is path connected. Note that for any  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_P(UAV) = \mathcal{G}_P(A)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{UPV}(A) = \{V^t B U^t : B \in \mathcal{G}_P(A)\}$ . Hence we may assume that  $A, P$  are diagonal matrices.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$  where  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{n-1} > |a_n| \geq 0$  and  $B \in O(A)$ . If  $t_k(B) = t_k(A)$  then*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} W & \\ & X_1 \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} W^t & \\ & X_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $W \in \text{SO}_k$ ,  $X_1, X_2 \in \text{SO}_{n-k}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = UAV$  where  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  and write

$$U = (u_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = (v_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $U_{11}, V_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ ,  $U_{22}, V_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$ . Denote

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{*1} & \dots & u_{*n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} \\ V_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1*} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n*} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $u_{*j}^t = (u_{1j}, \dots, u_{kj})$ ,  $v_{j*} = (v_{j1}, \dots, v_{jk})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $t_k(UAV) = \text{tr}(U_{11}A_{11}V_{11} + U_{12}A_{22}V_{21}) = \text{tr}(A_{11}V_{11}U_{11} + A_{22}V_{21}U_{12}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_{i*} u_{*i}$ . Since  $v_{i*} u_{*i} \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_{i*} u_{*i} \leq k$  and  $a_1 > \dots > a_k > \dots > a_n$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_{i*} u_{*i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ii}$  with equality holds if and only if  $v_{i*} u_{*i} = 1$  for  $i \leq k$  and  $v_{i*} u_{*i} = 0$  for  $i > k$ . Hence we have  $v_{i*} = u_{*i}^t$  and  $u_{*i} u_{*i}^t = 1$ . Now  $U = W \oplus X_1$  and  $V = W^t \oplus X_1$  where  $W \in \mathcal{O}_k$ ,  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{n-k}$  and  $\det W = \det X_1 = \det X_2$ . If  $\det W = \det X_1 = \det X_2 = -1$ , then we have  $B = ((WD_1) \oplus (X_1 D_2)) A ((WD_1)^t \oplus (D_2 X_2))$  where  $D_1 = I_{k-1} \oplus -1$  and  $D_2 = -1 \oplus I_{n-k-1}$ .  $\square$

Thompson [9] gave the following result on characterizing the diagonal elements of  $O(A)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** [9] *A vector  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is the diagonal of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with singular values  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n$  if and only if  $d$  lies in the convex hull of those vectors  $(\pm s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \pm s_{\sigma(n)})$  with an even number (possibly zero) of negative signs and arbitrary permutation  $\sigma$ .*

For matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the following result by Miranda and Thompson [8] can be regarded as a characterization of the extreme values of  $O(A)$  under the linear map  $X \mapsto \text{tr}(BX)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** [8] *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  have singular values  $s_1(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A)$  and  $s_1(B) \geq \dots \geq s_n(B)$  respectively. Then*

$$\max_{U, V \in \text{SO}_n} \text{tr}(BUAV) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i(A)s_i(B) + (\text{sign det}(AB))s_n(A)s_n(B).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \pm a_n)$  where  $a_1 > \dots > a_n \geq 0$  and  $P = p_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus p_k I_{n_k}$  where  $p_1 > \dots > p_k \geq 0$  and  $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$ . Then*

(i) *if  $p_k > 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{G}_P(A) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_k \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} U_1^t & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_k^t \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} U_i \in \text{SO}_{n_i}, \\ i = 1, \dots, k \end{array} \right\};$$

(ii) *if  $p_k = 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{G}_P(A) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{k-1} \\ & & & U \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} U_1^t & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{k-1}^t \\ & & & V \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} U_i \in \text{SO}_{n_i}, \\ i = 1, \dots, k-1, \\ U, V \in \text{SO}_{n_k} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In both cases,  $\mathcal{G}_P(A)$  is path connected.

*Proof.* ( $\supseteq$ ) Obvious. ( $\subseteq$ ). We assume that  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_k$  where  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$ . We have  $r(P, A) = d(P)^t d(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \text{tr} A_i$ . Let  $U, V \in \text{SO}_n$  such that  $\text{tr}(PUAV) = r(P, A) = d(P)^t d(UAV)$ . Write

$$UAV = B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1k} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & \cdots & B_{2k} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{k1} & B_{k2} & \cdots & B_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $B_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_j}$ . We have  $\text{tr}(PUAV) = \text{tr}(PB) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \text{tr} B_{ii}$ . We shall show that  $\text{tr} B_{ii} = \text{tr} A_i$  for all  $i$  whenever  $p_i > 0$ . By Proposition 3.2,

$d(B) = \sum \alpha_i s_i$  where  $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$  and  $s_i$  are vector of  $(\pm a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \pm a_{\sigma(n)})$ ,  $\sigma$  is a permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and the number of negative signs is even (odd, respectively) if  $\det A \geq 0$  ( $\leq 0$ , respectively). If  $k = 1$ , then  $P = p_1 I$ , and the proof is trivial. Now consider  $k > 1$ , hence  $p_1 > 0$ . We first show that  $\text{tr} B_{11} = \text{tr} A_1$ . Note that  $\text{tr} B_{11} < \text{tr} A_1$  holds if and only if at least one of the following cases hold:

- (1) there exists  $i_1$  such that the first  $n_1$  elements of  $s_{i_1}$  contain  $-a_j$  where  $j \leq n_1$ ;
- (2) there exists  $i_1$  such that the first  $n_1$  elements of  $s_{i_1}$  contain  $\pm a_j$  where  $j > n_1$ .

In case (1), we construct  $s'_{i_1}$  from  $s$  by multiplying  $-1$  to  $-a_j$  and arbitrary  $a_q$  for some  $q > n_1$ . If in case (2), then there exists  $i' < n_1$  such that  $\pm a_{i'}$  will not be the first  $n_1$  elements of  $s_{i_1}$ . In this case, we construct  $s'_{i_1}$  from  $s_{i_1}$  by interchanging  $\pm a_j$  and  $\pm a_{i'}$  and multiplying  $-1$  to both if necessary to have  $a_{i'}$  instead of  $-a_{i'}$ . Replace  $s_{i_1}$  in  $\sum \alpha_i s_i$  by  $s'_{i_1}$  to form  $s$ . By Proposition 3.2, there exists  $B' \in O(A)$  such that  $d(B') = s$ . We shall have  $d(P)^t d(B) = d(P)^t (\sum \alpha_i s_i) = d(P)^t s + d(P)^t (s_{i_1} - s'_{i_1}) < d(P)^t s$ , which contradicts the assumption on  $B$ . Therefore, we have  $\text{tr} B_{11} = \text{tr} A_1$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$  and  $V = V_1^t \oplus V_2$  where  $U_1, V_1 \in \text{SO}_{n_1}$ ,  $V_2, U_2 \in \text{SO}_{n-n_1}$  and  $V_1^t = U_1$ . Apply similar approach for  $B_{ii}$  where  $p_i > 0$ . Hence, if  $p_k > 0$ , we have  $U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$  and  $V = U^t$  where  $U_i \in \text{SO}_{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; otherwise if  $p_k = 0$ ,  $U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_{k-1} \oplus U'$  and  $V = U_1^t \oplus \dots \oplus U_{k-1}^t \oplus V'$  where  $U_i \in \text{SO}_{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ ,  $U', V' \in \text{SO}_{n_k}$ . The path connectedness of  $\mathcal{G}_P(A)$  follows from the path connectedness of  $\text{SO}_{n_i}$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has  $n$  distinct singular values, then  $L(O(A))$  has convex boundary for all linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be such that  $\mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A)) = L(O(A))$ . Then  $L(O(A))$  has convex boundary if for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the set

$$\{-\sin \theta x + \cos \theta y : (x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A)), \cos \theta x + \sin \theta y = r_\theta\},$$

where  $r_\theta = \max\{\cos \theta x + \sin \theta y : (x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A))\}$ , is path connected. For any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , we define  $P'_\theta = -\sin \theta P + \cos \theta Q$  and  $Q'_\theta = \cos \theta P + \sin \theta Q$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{-\sin \theta x + \cos \theta y : (x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(P, Q; O(A)), \cos \theta x + \sin \theta y = r_\theta\} \\ &= \{\text{tr}(P'_\theta U A V) : U, V \in \text{SO}_n, \text{tr}(Q'_\theta U A V) = r_\theta\} \\ &= \{\text{tr}(P'_\theta X) : X \in \mathcal{G}_{Q'_\theta}(A)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 3.4, it is path connected.  $\square$

Note that a set  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is convex if and only if it is star-shaped and has convex boundary. Hence by Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 3.5, the following result is clear.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has  $n$  distinct singular values, then  $L(O(A))$  is convex for all linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .*

In fact, the condition of distinct singular values in Theorem 3.6 can be removed by applying the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be a linear map. Suppose  $L(O(A))$  is convex for all  $A$  in a dense set  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then  $L(O(A))$  is convex for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $L(O(A_0))$  is not convex. Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in L(O(A_0))$  such that  $y = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \notin L(O(A_0))$ . Since  $L(O(A_0))$  is compact, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(y, \epsilon) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\ell : \|x - y\| < \epsilon\}$  has empty intersection with  $L(O(A_0))$ . Since  $S$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , there exists  $A_\epsilon \in S$  such that for all  $U, V \in SO_n$ ,

$$\|L(UA_0V) - L(UA_\epsilon V)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence there exist  $x'_1, x'_2 \in L(O(A_\epsilon))$  such that  $\|x'_1 - x_1\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $\|x'_2 - x_2\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . By convexity of  $L(O(A_\epsilon))$ ,  $y' = \frac{1}{2}(x'_1 + x'_2) \in L(O(A_\epsilon))$ . We have

$$\|y' - y\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2}(x'_1 + x'_2) - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \right\| < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By assumption of  $A_\epsilon$ , there exists  $z \in L(O(A_0))$  such that  $\|z - y'\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then  $\|z - y\| = \|(z - y') + (y' - y)\| < \|(z - y')\| + \|(y' - y)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ , contradicting the fact that  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap L(O(A_0)) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Since the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $n$  distinct singular values is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , by Lemma 3.7 we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ .  $L(O(A))$  is convex for all linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .*

From the proof of Corollary 2.12, the convexity of  $L(O(A))$  can be extended to  $L(O_i(A_1, \dots, A_m))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ .  $L(O_i(A_1, \dots, A_m))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is convex for all linear maps  $L : (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .*

## References

- [1] W.S. Cheung, N.K. Tsing, The  $C$ -numerical Range of Matrices is Star-shaped, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 41 (1996), 245-250.
- [2] F. Hausdorff, Das Wertvorrat einer Bilinearform, Math. Zeit. 3 (1919), 314-316.
- [3] A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Topics in Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

- [4] C.K. Li, *C*-numerical Ranges and *C*-numerical Radii, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 37 (1994), 51-82.
- [5] C.K. Li, Y.T. Poon, Convexity of the Joint Numerical Range, *SIAM J. Matrix Analysis Appl.* 21 (1999), 668-678.
- [6] C.K. Li, Y.T. Poon, Generalized Numerical Ranges and Quantum Error Correction, *J. Operator Theory.* 66 (2011), 335-351.
- [7] C.K. Li, T.Y. Tam, Numerical Ranges Arising from Simple Lie Algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* 52 (2000), 141-171.
- [8] H. Miranda , R.C. Thompson, Group Majorization, the Convex Hulls of Sets of Matrices, and the Diagonal Element-Singular Value Inequalities, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 199 (1994), 131-141.
- [9] R.C. Thompson, Singular Values, Diagonal Elements, and Convexity, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 32 (1977), 39-63.
- [10] O. Toeplitz, Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejer, *Math. Zeit.* 2 (1918), 187-197.
- [11] N.K. Tsing, On the Shape of the Generalized Numerical Ranges, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 10 (1981), 173-182.
- [12] R. Westwick, A Theorem on Numerical Range, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 2 (1975), 311-315