Moments of scores

Sergey Bobkov University of Minnesota

Workshop on Probability and Information Theory The University of Hong Kong, 19-22 August 2019

Definitions

X random variable with a (locally) abs. continuous density f. Definition. The score of X is the random variable

$$\rho(X) = \frac{f'(X)}{f(X)}.$$

Well defined: $\mathbb{P}{f(X) > 0} = 1$.

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\sim \text{Exp}(1), \ f(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}, \ \rho(X) = \text{sign}(X) \sim \text{Bern}(1/2), \\ X &\sim N(0, 1), \ f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}, \ \rho(X) = -X \sim N(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Absolute moments:

$$I_k(X) = \mathbb{E} |\rho(X)|^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

First absolute moment = total variation norm

$$I_1(X) = ||f||_{\mathrm{TV}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Note: $I_1(X) < \infty \implies \mathbb{E}\rho(X) = 0.$

The case $I_1(X) = ||f||_{TV}$ involves more distributions (including uniform on intervals).

Second moment

Second moment = Fisher information contained in the distribution of X

$$I(X) = I_2(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'(x)^2}{f(x)} dx.$$

Cramér-Rao inequality:

$$I(X) \operatorname{Var}(X) \ge 1$$

with equality iff X is normal.

De Bruijn's identity: If $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$, then for all t > 0

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} h(X + \sqrt{t} Z) = \frac{1}{2} I(X + \sqrt{t} Z),$$

where

$$h(X) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \log f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Shannon's entropy, and $Z \sim N(0,1)$ independent of X.

Shifts (translates) of product measures

 $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ iid copies of X with distribution μ on \mathbb{R} . Product measures:

$$\mu^{\infty} = \mu \otimes \mu \otimes \ldots, \quad \mu_h^{\infty} = \mu_{h_1} \otimes \mu_{h_2} \otimes \ldots$$

for $h = (h_n)_{n \ge 1}$, $\mu_{h_n} = \text{distribution of } X_n + h_n$.

Question: When are the sample paths of (X_n) and $(X_n + h_n)$ distinguishable with errors $h = (h_n)$ of centering X_n from ℓ^2 ?

Kakutani's dichotomy: Any two product measures are either equivalent or singular (orthogonal).

Feldman-Shepp's theorem: $\mu^\infty \sim \mu^\infty_h$ on \mathbb{R}^∞ for any h with

$$\|h\|_2^2 = \sum_n h_n^2 < \infty$$

if and only if X has an a.e. positive absolutely continuous density with $I(X) < \infty$.

Quantification (B'99): Put $\sigma^2 = I(X)$. If $\sigma \|h\|_2 < \pi$, then

$$\|\mu_h^{\infty} - \mu^{\infty}\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \le 2 \sin\left(\frac{\sigma \|h\|_2}{2}\right).$$

Logarithmic Sobolev inequality

If
$$\mathbb{E} X^2 = 1$$
, $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, then $h(X) \leq h(Z)$, $I(X) \geq I(Z)$.

Informational divergence (Kullback-Leibler distance):

$$D(X||Z) = h(Z) - h(X) = \int f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{\varphi(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Fisher information distance:

$$I(X||Z) = I(X) - I(Z) = \int \frac{(f(x) - \varphi(x))^2}{\varphi(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Log-Sobolev inequality (Stam '59, Gross '75):

$$D(X||Z) \le \frac{1}{2}I(X||Z).$$

Equivalently in terms of $u=f/\varphi$,

$$\int u \log u \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \, \leq \, \frac{1}{2} \, \int \, \frac{u^{\prime 2}}{u} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

with respect to the standard Gaussian measure $\gamma.$

Higher order moments of scores

Higher order moments:

$$I_k(f) = I_k(X) = \mathbb{E} |\rho(X)|^k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(x)|^k}{f(x)^{k-1}} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

General case k > 1 (Lions and Toscani '95):
Convergence of densities and their powers in CLT in Sobolev spaces.

• Case k = 4 (Gabetta '93): Convergence to equilibrium in Kac's model (in the context of the kinetic theory of gases).

• Exponential and Gaussian moments of $\rho(X)$ (B'99): To control translates of product probability measures.

Problems

- How to determine that $I_k(X) < \infty$?
- Behaviour of moments along convolutions, i.e. for

$$X = S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

with independent summands.

General properties

• Translation invariance and homogeneity:

$$I_k(a+bX) = \frac{1}{|b|^k} I_k(X), \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}, \ b \neq 0.$$

• Monotonicity with respect to order:

$$k \leq l \Rightarrow (I_k(X))^{1/k} \leq (I_l(X))^{1/l}$$

• Convexity: If $f = \int f_t \, \mathrm{d} \pi(t)$, then

$$I_k(f) \leq \int I(f_t) \,\mathrm{d}\pi(t).$$

• Monotonicity with respect to convolutions:

$$I_k(X+Y) \le \min\{I_k(X), I_k(Y)\}.$$

• Therefore, for $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ with independent X_i , the sequence

$$n \to I_k(S_n)$$

is decreasing.

Moments of weighted sums

Lions and Toscani '95: If $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ with iid X_i , then $I_{2m}(S_n/\sqrt{n}) \leq c_m I_{2m}(X_1), \qquad m = 1, 2, \ldots$

Generalization: weighted sums

$$Z_n = \alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n \qquad (\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1).$$

Theorem 1. If $I_{2m}(X_i) \leq I$ for all $i \leq n$, then

$$I_{2m}(Z_n) \leq c_m I, \qquad c_m = (2m)! (e/m)^m$$

Example: $X_i \sim f(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}$, then

 $|\rho(X_i)| = 1$ and $I_{2m}(X_i) = 1$.

For $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have $Z_n \Rightarrow Z \sim N(0, 1)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $I_{2m}(Z_n) \to I_{2m}(Z) = \mathbb{E} |Z|^{2m} = \frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!}.$

Hence, $c_m \ge (2m)!/(2^m m!)$

Gaussian moments

Theorem 2. If for some $\sigma>0$ $\mathbb{E}\,\exp\{\rho(X_i)^2/\sigma^2\}\leq 2,$ then

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\rho(Z_n)^2/K\sigma^2\right\} \le 2$$

with K = 6.

Note (well known): If $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and

 $\mathbb{E} \exp\{X_i^2/\sigma^2\} \le 2,$

then similarly

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left\{Z_n^2/K\sigma^2\right\} \le 2.$$

Finiteness of moments of scores

Let

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

with independent X_i .

Question: Is it true that $I_k(S_n) < \infty$ for some $n = n_0$ assuming only that $I_1(X_i) < \infty$?

Case k = 2 (B-Chistyakov-Götze '14): $n_0 = 3$.

Application: CLT in Fisher information distance. Open: Higher dimensions.

Theorem 3. For $k \ge 2$, it is enough to take $n_0 = k + 1$. Moreover, putting $b_i = I_1(X_i)$, we have

$$I_k(S_{k+1}) \leq c_k b_1 \dots b_{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{b_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{b_{k+1}} \right)$$

with $c_k = k^k/(2^k k!)$

Characterization in the iid case

Let $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$ and characteristic function

$$v(t) = \mathbb{E} e^{itX_1}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Fix $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 4. The following properties are equivalent:

- a) There exists n such that $I_k(S_n) < \infty$;
- b) There exists n such that S_n has a density with bounded total variation;
- $c) \text{ For some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{, we have } v(t) = o(t^{-\varepsilon}) \text{ as } t \to \infty.$
- If X_1 has density with bounded total variation, then

$$\sup_{n \ge k+1} I_k(S_n/\sqrt{n}) \le A_k \, (I_1(X_1))^k.$$

Reduction to uniform distributions

For $X \sim f$, write $I_k(f) = I_k(X)$.

Triangle inequality: If $f = \int q \, d\pi(q)$, then

$$I_1(f) \leq \int I_1(q) \,\mathrm{d}\pi(q).$$

Let U be the collection of uniform densities $q(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbb{1}_{a < x < b}$.

Lemma 1 (B-C-G). Any probability density f of bounded total variation can be represented as a convex mixture $f = \int_U q \, \mathrm{d}\pi(q)$ with a mixing probability measure π on U such that

$$I_1(f) = \int_U I_1(q) \,\mathrm{d}\pi(q).$$

Example: If f is supported and non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$, there is a canonical representation

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_1} 1_{\{0 < x < x_1\}} \,\mathrm{d}\pi(x_1) \qquad \text{a.e.}$$

with a unique mixing measure π . In this case, $I_1(f) = 2f(0+)$.

The case of uniform distributions

Lemma 2. For the sum $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_{k+1}$ with $X_i \sim U(0, l_i)$,

$$I_k(X) \leq \frac{k^k}{k!} \frac{l_1 + \dots + l_{k+1}}{l_1 \dots l_{k+1}}.$$

Put

$$l = l_1 + \dots + l_{k+1}, \qquad v = l_1 \dots l_{k+1} = |Q|,$$

where Q is the box in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} with sides $[0, l_i]$. Distribution of X is supported on (0, l) and is symmetric about l/2, with density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{v} |\{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in Q : x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1} = x\}|.$$

For small x > 0,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{vk!}x^k.$$

Brunn-Minkowski inequality: For all Borel measurable sets A, Blying in parallel hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} and any 0 < t < 1,

$$|tA + (1-t)B|^{1/k} \ge t |A|^{1/k} + (1-t) |B|^{1/k}.$$

Hence, the function $f(x)^{1/k}$ is concave on (0, l).

Proof of Lemma 2

 $(f^{1/k})^\prime$ is decreasing, and by the symmetry of f around l/2,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} f(x)^{1/k} \right| \leq \lim_{x \to 0} \left| \frac{d}{dx} f(x)^{1/k} \right|$$
$$= \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{vk!} x^k \right)^{1/k} = \left(\frac{1}{vk!} \right)^{1/k}.$$

This gives

$$I_k(X) = \int_0^l \left| \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right|^k f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

= $k^k \int_0^l \left| \frac{d}{dx} f(x)^{1/k} \right|^k \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{k^k}{k!} \frac{l}{v}.$

Proof of Theorem 3

 X_i independent and have densities f_i with finite total variation norms $b_i = I_1(X_i)$, $1 \le i \le k+1$. By Lemma 1,

$$f_i(x) = \int_U q(x) \,\mathrm{d}\pi_i(q)$$

with some mixing probability measures π_i on the set U of densities for uniform distributions (on all intervals) and satisfying

$$b_i = \int_U I_1(q_i) \,\mathrm{d}\pi_i(q_i).$$

Hence $S_{k+1} = X_1 + \cdots + X_{k+1}$ has density

$$f = f_1 * \dots * f_{k+1} = \int_U \dots \int_U q_1 * \dots * q_{k+1} \, \mathrm{d}\pi_1(q_1) \dots \, \mathrm{d}\pi_{k+1}(q_{k+1}).$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$I_k(f) \leq \int_U \dots \int_U I_k(q_1 * \dots * q_{k+1}) \, \mathrm{d}\pi_1(q_1) \dots \mathrm{d}\pi_{k+1}(q_{k+1}).$$

For the uniform distribution with density $q = \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}$, we have

$$I_1(q) = \frac{2}{b-a}.$$

Equivalently, every q in U is supported on an interval of length $l = 2/I_1(q)$. Hence, by Lemma 2, putting $l_i = 2/I_1(q_i)$,

$$I_k(q_1 * \dots * q_{k+1}) \leq \frac{k^k}{k!} \frac{l_1 + \dots + l_{k+1}}{l_1 \dots l_{k+1}}$$

= $c_k \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} I_1(q_1) \dots I_1(q_{i-1}) I_1(q_{i+1}) \dots I_1(q_{k+1}),$

where

$$c_k = k^k / (2^k k!)$$

It remains to integrate this inequality over $\pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{k+1}$ and use $b_i = \int I_1(q_i) d\pi_i(q_i)$ to get

$$I_k(f) \leq c_k \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} b_1 \dots b_{i-1} b_{i+1} \dots b_{k+1}.$$

Stam's inequality

Theorem (Stam '59). If X_1 and X_2 are independent, then $\frac{1}{I(X_1 + X_2)} \geq \frac{1}{I(X_1)} + \frac{1}{I(X_2)}.$

Linearized form: For all $a_1, a_2 > 0$, $a_1 + a_2 = 1$,

$$I(X_1 + X_2) \leq a_1^2 I(X_1) + a_2^2 I(X_2).$$

Weighted sums: For all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ such that $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 = 1$,

$$I(\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2) \leq \alpha_1^2 I(X_1) + \alpha_2^2 I(X_2).$$

Theorem (Lions-Toscani '95). Given $m \ge 1$,

$$I_{2m}(\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2) \leq \sum {\binom{2m}{k}} \alpha_1^k \alpha_2^{2m-k} \times I_k(X_1) I_{2m-k}(X_2).$$

with summation over all $k \neq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq 2m$.

Multinomial extension for weighted sums

For independent X_i with finite $I_{2m}(X_i) = \mathbb{E} \rho(X_i)^{2m}$, consider the weighted sums

$$Z_n = \alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n \quad (\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1)$$

with $\alpha_i > 0$. Put $I_0(X_i) = 1$.

Lemma 3. For any integer $m \ge 1$,

$$I_{2m}(Z_n) \leq \sum {\binom{2m}{k_1 \dots k_n}} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_n^{k_n} \times I_{k_1}(X_1) \dots I_{k_n}(X_n).$$

The summation is performed over all non-negative $k_i \neq 1$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = 2m$.

Proof of Lemma 3

Let n = 2. If X_i has densities f_i , the density f of $X_1 + X_2$ is given by

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x-y) f_2(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(x-y) f_1(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

It has derivative

$$f'(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'_1(x-y) f_2(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'_2(x-y) f_1(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

That is, for any $a_1, a_2 > 0$, $a_1 + a_2 = 1$,

$$f'(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(a_1 f'_1(x-y) f_2(y) \, \mathrm{d}y + a_2 f_1(x-y) f'_2(y) \right) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Hence

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(a_1 \frac{f'_1(x-y)}{f_1(x-y)} + a_2 \frac{f'_2(y)}{f_2(y)} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_x(y)$$

with

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_x(y)/\mathrm{d}y = f_1(x-y)f_2(y)/f(x).$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)^{2m} \le \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(a_1 \frac{f_1'(x-y)}{f_1(x-y)} + a_2 \frac{f_2'(y)}{f_2(y)}\right)^{2m} \mathrm{d}\mu_x(y).$$

One may now expand the integrand according to the binomial formula, multiply both sides by f(x) and integrate over the variable x. We then arrive at

$$I_{2m}(X_1 + X_2) \leq \sum {\binom{2m}{k_1 k_2}} a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} I_{k_1}(X_1) I_{k_2}(X_n)$$

without terms corresponding to $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 1$. Next, write down this bound for $\alpha_i X_i$ with $a_i = \alpha_i^2$.

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2. Let $\rho_i = |\rho(X_i)|$. If $||\rho_i||_{\psi_2} \le 1$, that is, $\mathbb{E} e^{\rho_i^2} \le 2$, then the weighted sums

 $Z_n = \alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n, \qquad \alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1,$

satisfy

$$\|\rho(Z_n)\|_{\psi_2} \le K.$$

Proof. Assume that $\alpha_i \ge 0$. By Lemma 3,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\,\rho(Z_n)^{2m}}{(2m)!} \leq \sum \frac{1}{k_1!\dots k_n!} \,\alpha_1^{k_1}\dots\alpha_n^{k_n} \,\mathbb{E}\,|\rho_1|^{k_1}\dots\mathbb{E}\,|\rho_n|^{k_n}$$

where the summation is performed over all non-negative $k_i \neq 1$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = 2m$. Expanding the cosh-function in a power series, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \cosh(t\rho(Z_n)) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(e^{t\alpha_i \rho_i} - t\alpha_i \rho_i \right).$$

The non-negative convex function

$$\psi_i(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{t\rho_i} - t\rho_i\right)$$

satisfies
$$\psi_i(0) = 1$$
, $\psi_i'(0) = 0$. Using
$$x^2 e^{x^2/2} \le e^{x^2} - 1,$$

we get

$$\begin{split} \psi_i''(t) &= \mathbb{E} \, \rho_i^2 \, e^{t\rho_i} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \, \rho_i^2 \, e^{(t^2 + \rho_i^2)/2} \\ &\leq e^{t^2/2} \, (\mathbb{E} \, e^{\rho_i^2} - 1) \, \leq \, e^{t^2/2} \end{split}$$

SO

$$\psi_i(t) \leq 1 + t^2 e^{t^2/2} \leq e^{t^2}.$$

This gives

$$\mathbb{E} \cosh(t\rho(Z_n)) \le \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\alpha_i t) \le \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\alpha_i^2 t^2} = e^{t^2}$$

for any $t\in\mathbb{R}.$ If $\eta\sim N(0,1),$

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\{t^2 \rho(Z_n)^2/2\} = \mathbb{E} \cosh(t\rho(Z_n)\eta)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\{t^2\eta^2\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2t^2}}.$$

The choice $t^2 = 3/8$ yields the result with K = 16/3.

Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. If $I_{2m}(X_i) \leq I$ for all $i \leq n$, then

$$I_{2m}(Z_n) \leq c_m I, \qquad c_m = (2m)! (e/m)^m.$$

Proof. Again, according to Lemma 3,

$$\mathbb{E}\,\rho(Z_n)^{2m} \leq \sum \frac{(2m)!}{k_1!\dots k_n!}\,\alpha_1^{k_1}\dots\alpha_n^{k_n}\,I_{k_1}(X_1)\dots I_{k_n}(X_n)$$

Since

$$(I_{k_i}(X_i))^{1/k_i} \le (I_{2m}(X_i))^{1/(2m)} \le I^{1/(2m)},$$

we get

$$I_{2m}(Z_n) \le K_m I$$

with

$$K_m = \sum \frac{(2m)!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_n^{k_n}$$

where summation is as before. Put $K_0 = 1$ and introduce the generating function associated to the sequence $(K_m)_{m\geq 0}$,

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_m}{(2m)!} z^{2m}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

so that $K_m = \psi^{(2m)}(0)$. It follows that

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k_j \ge 0, \, k_j \ne 1} \frac{1}{k_i!} (\alpha_i z)^{k_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (e^{\alpha_i z} - \alpha_i z).$$

Since $|e^w - w| \le e^{|w|} - |w| \le e^{|w|^2}$ for any complex w, we get $|\psi(z)| \le \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\alpha_i^2 |z|^2} = e^{|z|^2}.$

We now use contour integration and Cauchy's formula

$$K_m = \frac{(2m)!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{\psi(z)}{z^{2m+1}} dz \qquad (R>0),$$

which together with the above upper bound yields

$$K_m \le \frac{(2m)!}{R^{2m}} e^{R^2}.$$

It remains to choose an optimal value $R = \sqrt{m}$, which leads to

$$I_{2m}(Z_n) \le \frac{(2m)! e^m}{m^m} I.$$