Arithmetic surfaces and higher adeles

Denis Osipov

Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS

NRU HSE

NUST MISIS

The 4th Sino-Russian Conference in Mathematics 15–19 October 2018, The University of Hong Kong

Denis Osipov

Arithmetic surfaces and higher adeles

Hong Kong 2018 1 / 17

One-dimensional case: number fields

Let K be a number field such that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = l$. Let E be the ring of integers in K, i.e. $E \supset \mathbb{Z}$ is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{Q} .

One-dimensional case: number fields

Let K be a number field such that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = l$. Let E be the ring of integers in K, i.e. $E \supset \mathbb{Z}$ is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{Q} . The ring of adeles of K is

$$\mathbb{A}_{K} = \prod_{v}' K_{v} = \{ f \in \prod_{v} K_{v} \mid f \in \hat{E}_{\sigma} \quad \text{for almost all} \quad \sigma \} \,,$$

where v runs over all places of K,

 K_v is the completion of the field K with respect to v,

 Π' means the restricted product with respect to the subrings E_{σ} , σ runs over the set of all non-Archimedean places of K (which is the set of all maximal ideal of E),

 \hat{E}_{σ} is the corresponding completion of E at σ (which is the ring of integers in K_{σ}).

Quotient group of the ring of adeles

The field K_v has a locally compact topology. Hence the ring A_K has a topology of the restricted product. Thus, A_K is a locally compact topological ring.

Quotient group of the ring of adeles

The field K_v has a locally compact topology. Hence the ring A_K has a topology of the restricted product. Thus, A_K is a locally compact topological ring. The field K is diagonally embedded in the ring A_K (through the natural embedding $K \hookrightarrow K_v$). The strong approximation theorem implies the well-known result.

Theorem

There is an exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{\sigma} \hat{E}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{K}/K \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{w} K_{w}\right)/E \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where σ runs over the set of all non-Archimedean places of K, w runs over the (finite) set of all Archimedean places of K.

Denis Osipov

Example

The group $\prod_{\sigma} \hat{E}_{\sigma}$ is compact. The group $\left(\prod_{w} K_{w}\right) / E \simeq \mathbb{T}^{l}$, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is also compact. Thus, the group \mathbb{A}_{K}/K is compact and is an extension of two compact groups through the above exact sequence.

Example

The group $\prod_{\sigma} \hat{E}_{\sigma}$ is compact. The group $\left(\prod_{w} K_{w}\right) / E \simeq \mathbb{T}^{l}$, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is also compact. Thus, the group \mathbb{A}_{K}/K is compact and is an extension of two compact groups through the above exact sequence.

Example

For $K = \mathbb{Q}$ the above sequence is

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \prod_{p} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

One-dimensional geometric case

In the geometric case there is an analogous exact sequence for the ring of adeles \mathbb{A}_K , where $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$, and C is a smooth projective curve over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Fix a finite set of points s_1, \ldots, s_n (the analog of above set of Archimedean places). Let $A = H^0(C \setminus \bigcup s_i), \mathcal{O}$). Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{u \in C, u \neq s_i} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_u {\longrightarrow} \mathbb{A}_K / K {\longrightarrow} (\prod_i K_{s_i}) / A \longrightarrow 0 \; .$$

In other notation, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{K}} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{C},01}$, $\mathrm{K} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{C},0}$.

Adeles on two-dimensional schemes

In higher dimensions rings of adeles were introduced: by A. N. Parshin (1976) for smooth algebraic surfaces, and by A. A. Beilinson (1980) for arbitrary Noetherian schemes.

Rings of higher adeles (and higher-dimensional local fields) are important for the arithmetic properties of schemes: higher-dimensional generalization of the class field theory and possible generalization of the Tate-Iwasawa method (on meromorphic continuation and functional equation for L-functions) from one-dimensional case to the case of higher dimensions.

We restrict ourselves to the case of dimension 2.

Algebraic and arithmetic surfaces

We consider two parallel (and analogous cases):

- Y is an irreducible normal algebraic surface over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q ,
- X is an arithmetic surface, i.e. a two-dimensional normal integral scheme with projective and surjective morphism to Spec Z.

Then for the rings of adeles we have:

$$\mathbb{A}_Y \subset \prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_X \subset \prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D},$$

where $x \in D$ is a pair with a closed point $x \in X$ and an irreducible curve $D \subset Y$ (or an integral closed one-dimensional subscheme $D \subset X$).

Local components

The ring

$$K_{x,D} = \prod_{1 \le i \le l} K_i \,,$$

where K_i is the completion of the field $\operatorname{Frac} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ with respect to $D_i \subset \operatorname{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$, where $D \mid_{\operatorname{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} D_i$ and D_i is irreducible.

In other words, K_i is associated with every branch of D at the formal neighbourhood of $x \in X$ (or $x \in Y$).

Two-dimensional local fields

The field K_i is a two-dimensional local field:

- $K_i \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^r}((u))((t))$ in the geometric case,
- $K_i \supset \mathbb{Q}_p((t))$ or $K_i \supset \mathbb{Q}_p\{\{t\}\}$ in the arithmetic case,

where
$$f = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p\{\{t\}\}$$
 (with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$) iff

• there is $c_f \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu_p(a_i) > c_f$ for all i,

• and
$$\lim_{i\to-\infty}\nu_p(a_i) = +\infty$$
.

Algebraic surfaces

Let us give an exact definition of \mathbb{A}_Y . For any irreducible curve C on Y let $t_C = 0$ be an equation of C on some affine open subset of Y. We note that

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{C}}((\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}))\subset \prod_{\mathrm{x}\in\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x},\mathrm{C}}$$
 .

Then $g \in \mathbb{A}_Y \subset \prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D}$ iff

•
$$g \in \prod_{D \subset X} \mathbb{A}_D((t_D)),$$

 and for almost all D ⊂ X the restriction of g to D-component belongs to A_D[[t_D]].

This definition does not depend on the choice of t_D .

Denis Osipov

Subgroups of the ring of adeles

Similarly to \mathbb{A}_{Y} one defines the ring \mathbb{A}_{X} (with more technical details).

Let $\mathbb{A}_{012} = \mathbb{A}_{Y}$ (or $\mathbb{A}_{012} = \mathbb{A}_{X}$).

For any $D \subset Y$ (or $D \subset X$) let K_D be the completion of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_q(Y)$ (or $\mathbb{Q}(X)$) with respect to the discrete valuation given by D. We have $K_D \subset \prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D}$.

Subgroups of the ring of adeles

Similarly to \mathbb{A}_{Y} one defines the ring \mathbb{A}_{X} (with more technical details).

Let $\mathbb{A}_{012} = \mathbb{A}_{Y}$ (or $\mathbb{A}_{012} = \mathbb{A}_{X}$). For any $D \subset Y$ (or $D \subset X$) let K_{D} be the completion of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_{q}(Y)$ (or $\mathbb{Q}(X)$) with respect to the discrete valuation given by D. We have $K_{D} \subset \prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D}$.

For any
$$x \in Y$$
 (or $x \in X$) let $K_x = \mathcal{O}_x \cdot L$, where $L = \mathbb{F}_q(Y)$ (or $L = \mathbb{Q}(X)$). We have $K_x \subset \prod_{D \ni x} K_{x,D}$.

We define inside $\prod_{x \in D} K_{x,D}$:

$$\mathbb{A}_{01} = \mathbb{A}_{012} \cap \prod_D K_D \,, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_{02} = \mathbb{A}_{012} \cap \prod_x K_x \,.$$

Adelic quotient group for algebraic surface

We fix an ample divisor C on Y. Then the complement $U = Y \setminus \text{supp } C$ is affine.

Theorem

There is an exact sequence of compact groups for $\mathbb{A}_{012} = \mathbb{A}_Y$:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{012} / (\mathbb{A}_{01} + \mathbb{A}_{02}) \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow 0 \,, \\ \text{where} \qquad G_1 &\simeq \left(\prod_{D \subset Y, D \not\subset C} \left(\left(\prod_{x \in D}' \mathcal{O}_{x,D} \right) / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_D \right) \right) / \left(\prod_{x \in U} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \right) \,, \end{split}$$

and Π' means an adelic (on algebraic surface) product, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,D}$ is the product of discrete valuation rings from the ring $K_{x,D}$ (for example, if $K_{x,D} = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}((u))((t))$, then $\mathcal{O}_{K_{x,D}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}((u))[[t]])$, the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_D$ is the discrete valuation ring in K_D .

Groups G_1 and G_2

The group G_1 is compact, since the group

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{x}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{r}}}[[\mathrm{u},\mathrm{t}]]$$

is compact, and for a fixed $\mathbf{D}\subset\mathbf{Y}$ the group

$$\left(\prod_{x\in D}'\mathcal{O}_{x,D}\right)/\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{D}\simeq \left(\mathbb{A}_{D}/\mathbb{F}_{q}(D)\right)\left[\left[t_{D}\right]\right]$$

is also compact.

13/17

Groups G_1 and G_2

The group G_1 is compact, since the group

 $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^r}[[u,t]]$

is compact, and for a fixed $\mathbf{D}\subset\mathbf{Y}$ the group

$$\left(\prod_{x\in D}'\mathcal{O}_{x,D}\right)/\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{D}\simeq \left(\mathbb{A}_{D}/\mathbb{F}_{q}(D)\right)\left[\left[t_{D}\right]\right]$$

is also compact.

The group G_2 has also an explicit presentation. We note only that in the simplest case

$$Y = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \qquad C = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q} \times y + y \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$$

(y is a fixed \mathbb{F}_q -rational point on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$) we have

$$\mathrm{G}_2\simeq \mathbb{F}_\mathrm{q}((\mathrm{u}))((\mathrm{t}))/\left(\mathbb{F}_\mathrm{q}[\mathrm{u}^{-1}]((\mathrm{t}))+\mathbb{F}_\mathrm{q}((\mathrm{u}))[\mathrm{t}^{-1}]
ight)\,.$$

Arithmetic surfaces

An arithmetic surface X (with morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$) is "not compact" (since $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ is "not compact").

To have the good analogy with projective algebraic surface Y we have " to compactify" X.

For this goal we have to take into account the fibre over the ∞ -point of Spec \mathbb{Z} , which corresponds to the Archimedean valuation of \mathbb{Q} .

Arithmetic surfaces

An arithmetic surface X (with morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$) is "not compact" (since $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ is "not compact").

To have the good analogy with projective algebraic surface Y we have " to compactify" X.

For this goal we have to take into account the fibre over the ∞ -point of Spec \mathbb{Z} , which corresponds to the Archimedean valuation of \mathbb{Q} .

Let a curve $X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Q}$ be the fibre over the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$.

We define a ring of arithmetic adeles

$$\mathbb{A}_X^{\mathrm{ar}} \;=\; \mathbb{A}_X \oplus \left(\mathbb{A}_{X_\mathbb{Q}} \hat{\otimes}_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R}\right) \,,$$

where the ring

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \lim_{\substack{D_1 \in \text{Div}\,(X_{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ \text{Arithmetic surfaces and higher adeles}}} \prod_{u \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \left(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_u(D_1) / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_u(D_2) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \,.$$

Subgroups of the group of arithmetic adeles

We define subgroups of the group $\mathbb{A}_{012}^{ar} = \mathbb{A}_X^{ar}$ as

$$\mathbb{A}_{01}^{\mathrm{ar}} = \mathbb{A}_{01} \,, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_{02}^{\mathrm{ar}} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{X},02} \oplus (\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \,,$$

where the group in the first formula is naturally embedded into the both summands for \mathbb{A}_{012}^{ar} ,

the first summand in the second formula is naturally embedded into the first summand (scheme part) for \mathbb{A}_{012}^{ar} ,

the second summand in the second formula is naturally embedded into the second summand (Archimedean part) for \mathbb{A}_{012}^{ar} .

We fix a "horizontal divisor" C on X. Then $U = X \setminus C$ is affine, and "C plus Archimedean fibre" is an analog of an ample divisor on a projective algebraic surface.

Theorem

There is an exact sequence of compact groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{G}}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{ar}}_{012}/(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{ar}}_{01} + \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{ar}}_{02}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{G}}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where \tilde{G}_1 is written analogously to the group G_1 for an algebraic surface (but we have to take into account the fields $\mathbb{R}((t))$ and $\mathbb{C}((t))$ which come from the Archimedean part of \mathbb{A}_{012}^{ar}).

The group \tilde{G}_2

Let us give the description of the group \tilde{G}_2 Example

In the simplest case when $X=\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and C is a hyperplane section, we have that

$$ilde{\mathrm{G}}_2\simeq \mathbb{R}((\mathrm{t}))/\left(\mathbb{Z}((\mathrm{t}))+\mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}^{-1}]
ight)$$
 .

The group \tilde{G}_2

Let us give the description of the group \tilde{G}_2 Example

In the simplest case when $X=\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and C is a hyperplane section, we have that

$$ilde{\mathrm{G}}_2\simeq \mathbb{R}((\mathrm{t}))/\left(\mathbb{Z}((\mathrm{t}))+\mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}^{-1}]
ight)$$

Proposition

For an arithmetic surface X we have $\tilde{G}_2 \simeq \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow m \ge 0}} \Theta_m$, where the group

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{m}} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}(-\mathrm{mC})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}(-\mathrm{mC})))}$$

is a finite direct product of copies of \mathbb{T} (we recall that $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). Denis Osipov Arithmetic surfaces and higher adeles Hong Kong 2018 17/17