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ABSTRACT
In a rather broad sense mathematics deals with the solving of equations, different sorts of equations which help us to handle problems and to understand the world around us. It is therefore natural that the art of solving equations was developed in very early time, from the days of ancient Egypt, Babylonia, India and China. This paper tells (part of) the story of the art of solving equations in China as recorded in mathematical texts from ancient to medieval times, reaching a “golden age” in the thirteenth century, followed by a period of stagnation or even becoming a lost art until the study was revived during the eighteenth/nineteenth centuries when European mathematics was transmitted into China, first through the Jesuits since the beginning of the seventeenth century and later through the Protestant missionaries in the nineteenth century. It is hoped that this story may enrich the pedagogical aspect of learning the topic of equations in a modern day classroom.

1 Introduction
In a video made on the occasion of the award of the Abel Prize in 2018 the recipient, Robert Langlands, said, “What is called, not by me, “Langlands Program”, is about various things. Among other things it is about the solution of equations.”

In a rather broad sense mathematics deals with the solving of equations, different sorts of equations which help us to handle problems and to understand the world around us. It is therefore natural that the art of solving equations was developed in very early time, from the days of ancient Egypt, Babylonia, India and China. In those early days such problems invariably appeared as word problems for a natural reason (Swetz, 2012). Symbolic language and manipulation in dealing with equations took a few thousand years more to materialize.

In China the art of solving different types of equations appeared in various mathematical texts from ancient to medieval times, reaching a “golden age” in the thirteenth century, followed by a period of stagnation or even becoming a lost art until the study was revived during the eighteenth/nineteenth centuries when European mathematics was transmitted into China, first through the Jesuits since the beginning of the seventeenth century and later through the Protestant missionaries in the nineteenth century.

Before the era of transmission of European mathematics into China another kind of transmission of learning took place through the famous Silk Road. As the main trade route in Central Asia that established links between a cross-cultural mix of religions, civilizations and people of many different regions, naturally it also enabled exchanges of learning and cultures of people of different races. Transmission of mathematical knowledge, either directly or indirectly, between China and regions in Central Asia and the Middle East, India, the Islamic Empire and even Europe further to the West went on for many centuries from the Han Dynasty to the Yuan Dynasty throughout
fifteen centuries. A well-known example often referred to is the Method of Double False Position, originated from the method of *ying zu* [盈不足 excess and deficit] explained in Chapter 7 of *Jiuzhang Suanshu* [九章算術 The Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art], the prototype Chinese mathematical classics that is believed to have been compiled between the second century B.C.E and the first century C.E. (Siu, 2016).

2 A general account on solving equations in ancient and medieval China

The art of solving equations has a long history in China. Despite what the title may have suggested this paper will not give a detailed account of this history, which has been so far covered in a large collection of books and papers, some in the nature of scholarly research in history of mathematics and some in the nature of general exposition for a wider readership. Thus, there is no dearth of reading material on this topic. Primary sources are not hard to locate either, for example, see (Guo, 1993). Instead of offering a long list of references, which definitely cannot cover even a fraction of the relevant literature, I will just give some references which I frequently consult, and among that only those written in a Western language, leaving out a rich repertoire of the literature written in the Chinese language (Chemla, Guo, 2004; Hoe, 1977; Lam, 1977, Lam, Ang, 1992/2004; Libbrecht, 1973; Shen, Crossley, Lun, 1999). Readers who can read Chinese can begin with the encyclopaedic series on the historical development of mathematics in China in a span of four millennia from the very early time to the Qing Dynasty (Wu, 1982-2004).

If this topic has been so extensively studied, what is it that this paper wishes to contribute? As will become apparent in the next three sections we have the pedagogical aspect in mind. However, it is helpful to still give a brief account of the general background.

The art of solving equations was recorded and explained (in later commentaries) throughout the different chapters of *Jiuzhang Suanshu*. This book was woven around the main notion of ratio and proportion which already appeared in Chapter 1 and was much expanded and elaborated in the next five chapters, evolving into the method of excess and deficit of Chapter 7 and system of linear equations of Chapter 8, then on to geometric interpretation and applications in the method of *gou-gu* [勾股 right triangles] of the final Chapter 9. Problems in ratio and proportion involve linear equations, but problems in area and volume of geometric figures and problems in right triangles invariably involve simple quadratic and cubic equations of the type \( x^2 = A \) or \( x^3 = V \), for which the methods of extracting square roots and cube roots were developed. In Chinese mathematics, the method of extracting square roots, called *kaifangshu* [開方術] and explained in Chapter 4 of *Jiuzhang Suanshu*, is a crucial discovery that led to highly elaborated methods in stages in subsequent dynasties, reaching a high point in the thirteenth century when it developed into an algorithmic procedure for solving polynomial equations of any degree. By that time the art of solving equations of any degree was known as the *tianyuanshu* [天元術 celestial source procedure] and was extended to solving a system of polynomial equations in four unknowns known as the *siyuanshu* [四元術 four sources procedure] propounded
by the mathematician ZHU Shi-jie [朱世傑 1249-1314] in his treatise Siyuan Yujian [四元玉鑑 Precious Mirror of the Four Sources] of 1303.

In another direction the art of solving a system of linear congruence equations was developed originating from the famous Problem 26 of Book 3 of Sunzi Suanjing [孫子算經 Master Sun’s Mathematical Manual] of the third century: “There are an unknown number of things. Counting by threes we leave two; counting by fives we leave three; counting by sevens we leave two. Find the number of things.” This was further elaborated into a general method known as the dayan qiuyi shu [大衍求一術 great extension art of searching for unity] propounded by the mathematician Qin Jiushao [秦九韶 ca. 1202-1261] in his treatise Shushu Jiuzhang [數書九章 Mathematical Treatise in Nine Sections] of 1247. This significant achievement gains recognition in the naming of an important result in abstract algebra of the modern era that is called the “Chinese Remainder Theorem”.

In yet another direction the art of solving indeterminate equations was developed in the text Zhangqiuqian Suanjing [張丘建算經 Mathematical Manual of Zhang Qiu-jian] of the fifth century with the famous “problem of the hundred coins and hundred fouls” which seems to have transmitted through the Silk Road to become part of the folklore of different mathematical cultures in the Islamic world and later in the Western world. This problem was re-transmitted into China during the seventeenth to eighteenth centuries, a period of transition between traditional Chinese mathematics and modern mathematics learnt from the Westerners. This particular type of problems aroused the interest of a group of Qing mathematicians who thereby contributed to the investigation of solving indeterminate equations.

In 1613 LI Zhi-zao [李之藻 1565-1630], a scholar-official in the Ming Court, collaborated with the Italian Jesuit Matteo Ricci [利瑪竇 1552-1610] to compile the treatise Tongwen Suanzhi [同文算指, literally meaning “rules of arithmetic common to cultures”] based on the 1583 European text Epitome Arithmeticae Practicae (literally meaning “abridgement of arithmetic in practice”) of Christopher Clavius (1538-1612) and the 1592 Chinese mathematical classic Suanfa Tongzong [算法統宗, literally meaning “unified source of computational methods”] of CHENG Da-wei [程大位 1533-1606]. Besides being an attempt of LI Zhi-zao to synthesize European mathematics with traditional Chinese mathematics this is also the first book which transmitted into China in a systematic and comprehensive way the art of written calculation that had been in common practice in Europe since the sixteenth century (Siu, 2015). With this the road was well paved for the ensuing transmission of the art of solving equations from the Western world.

3 Solving problems not by solving equations

Many problems in ancient Chinese mathematical texts involve solving equations, such as “Chasing after the visitor to return his coat” (Problem 16 in Chapter 6 of Jiuzhang Suanshu), “Broken bamboo”(Problem 12 in Chapter 9 of Jiuzhang Suanshu), “Two mice drilling a wall” (Problem 12 of Chapter 7 of Jiuzhang Suanshu), “Inscribed circle in a right triangle” (Problem 16 in Chapter 9 of Jiuzhang Suanshu), “Pheasants and rabbits in a cage” (Problem 31 in Book 3 of Sunzi Suanjing). For each such problem a school pupil of today will no doubt set up an equation and solve it. But in
those days methods for solving equations (other than that of solving a system of linear equations using a systematic algorithm employing counting rods on the board that is equivalent to the Gaussian Elimination Method, which is explained in detail in Chapter 8 of *Jiuzhang Suanshu*) were not yet developed either in the East or in the West, so these problems were solved by other clever means, sometimes even relying on geometric explanation.

In the same manner, similar problems come up in primary school classrooms that are amenable to mathematical reasoning without relying on the knowledge of solving equations, and they serve a pedagogical purpose. This leads one to ask, “What advantage do we gain by learning to solve equations, if we can do without it but by some other means? Why do we need to learn the topic of solving equations?” We shall get back to this point at the end of this section. Among the batch of problems mentioned in the beginning of this section let us first look at two examples taken from ancient Chinese mathematical texts.

(1) In Book 3 of *Sunzi Suanjing* we find the famous problem about pheasants and rabbits: “Now there are pheasants and rabbits in the same cage. The top [of the cage] has 35 heads and the bottom has 94 legs. Find the number of pheasants and rabbits.” (Lam, Ang, 1992/2004, p. 180).

A school pupil of today would probably see it as a problem in solving a pair of simultaneous linear equations by putting $r$ as the number of rabbits and $p$ as the number of pheasants. If $H$ and $L$ are respectively the number of heads and legs, then $r + p = H$, $4r + 2p = L$. Hence, we eliminate $p$ to obtain

$$r = \frac{(L - 2H)}{2} = \frac{L}{2} - H,$$

that is, the number of rabbits $r$ is equal to half the number of legs minus that of heads. In the text of *Sunzi Suanjing* the explanation is given exactly as what the last sentence says. Even though ancient Chinese mathematicians several centuries before were already well versed in solving a system of linear equations as explained in Chapter 8 of *Jiuzhang Suanshu*, apparently that is not how the problem was solved in *Sunzi Suanjing*.

From the text of *Sunzi Suanjing* it appears that the author was thinking along the line of tying up the legs two by two so that each pheasant has one head and one pair of legs, while each rabbit has one head and two pairs of legs. Among these $L/2$ pairs of legs we can take off $H$ pairs, one from a pheasant and one from a rabbit, leaving behind $L/2 - H$ pairs, one for each rabbit. Thus, $r = L/2 - H$. (As a pedagogical means one can let students make up more funny and imaginative stories to explain the same formula. For instance, suppose we ask the pheasants and rabbits to each raise two legs. After doing that all the pheasants fall flat on the ground, while all the rabbits can each still stand on both legs. Count the heads (only of the rabbits) still held up, which is half of the $L - 2H$ legs standing on the ground, so $r = L/2 - H$. Or, for a more gruesome story, first cut off all legs, then distribute back to each pheasant and each rabbit a pair of legs. A pheasant can go away with a pair of legs, while a poor rabbit cannot move with only two legs. So, $L - 2H$ legs stay put, two for each rabbit, hence $r = \frac{(L - 2H)}{2} = \frac{L}{2} - H$. Instead of eliminating $p$ we can eliminate $r$ to arrive at

$$p = \frac{(4H - L)}{2} = 2H - \frac{L}{2}.$$
Again, as a pedagogical means one can let students make up a story to explain this formula.)

(2) Problem 13 in Chapter 9 of *Jiuzhang Suanshu* has a rich heritage, for it also appeared (with different data) in *Lilavati* written by the Indian mathematician Bhāskara II (1114-1185) in the twelfth century as well as in a European text written by the Italian mathematician Filippo Calandri in the fifteenth century. The problem asks: “Now given a bamboo 1 zhang high, which is broken so that its tip touches the ground 3 chi away from the base. Tell: What is the height of the break?” (Shen, Crossley, Lun, 1999, p.485). In modern day mathematical language it means that we want to calculate the side $b$ of a right triangle given $a$ and $c + b$, where $c$ is the hypotenuse. The answer given in *Jiuzhang Suanshu*, expressed in modern day mathematical language, is given by the formula

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (c + b) - \frac{a^2}{c + b} \right]$$

A school pupil of today would probably arrive at this answer by invoking Pythagoras’ Theorem and solving a certain equation. However, how was it done more than two thousand years ago, when the Chinese did not yet have the facility afforded by symbolic manipulation at their disposal?

Both LIU Hui [劉徽 ca. 225-295] and YANG Hui [楊輝 1238-1298], who were noted Chinese mathematicians more than a thousand years apart, explained in their commentaries how the answer is obtained. Their ingenious method is an excellent example to illustrate how shapes and numbers, or geometry and arithmetic/algebra, go hand in hand in traditional Chinese mathematics. By using Pythagoras’ Theorem (known as *gou-gu* [勾股] in the Chinese context) we see that the gnomon formed by the larger square of side $c$ minus the smaller square of side $b$ has area $a^2$. Fold down the gnomon to form a rectangle of side $c + b = L$ and $c - b$, and complete this rectangle to a square of side $L$ (See Figure 1a, 1b, 1c). Subtracting this rectangle from the square of side $L$ we obtain a rectangle of area $L^2 - a^2$, which is also equal to the product of the two sides, namely, $L$ times $L - (c - b) = 2b$, which is $2bL$. Therefore, $2bL = L^2 - a^2$, thence the answer for $b$ (See Figure 1d). For an animated demonstration of this process (credited to assistance from Anthony C. M. OR) readers are invited to go to a Geogebra applet at the link [https://ggbm.at/2772025](https://ggbm.at/2772025).
Both examples convey the point I wish to make, namely, it may be possible to solve a problem without setting up an equation then solve it, but it may require to some extent a clever idea. Not everybody can be a clever craftsman full of bright ideas as such, but most people can become skilful workers once they have learnt the methods of setting up and solving equations reasonably well enough. In history these methods were developed since the sixteenth century and matured during the next three centuries. Today a school pupil learn it in class and can thereby solve various problems by setting up and solving equations, be they linear or quadratic or of higher degree, while in the old days only masters could accomplish the same.

4 The Tianyuan PROCEDURE

In the book *Yigu Yanduan* [益古演段 Development of Pieces of Area Augmenting the Ancient Knowledge] of 1259 written by LI Ye [李治 1192-1279] Problem 8 asks: “A square field has a circular pond in the middle. The area of land off the pond is 13 mu and 7 plus a half fen. We only know that the sum of the perimeters of the square and the circle is 300 bu. What are the perimeter of the square and that of the circle?” The method says: “Set tianyuan yi as the diameter [立天元一為圓徑].” In a language familiar to a school pupil of today, this means “let $x$ be the diameter”. The solution follows (if expressed in today’s language): The circumference is $3x$ (the value of $\pi$ is taken to be 3), so the perimeter of the square is $300 - 3x$. Sixteen times the area of the square is equal to $9x^2 - 1800x + 90000$, while sixteen times the area of the circle is equal to $12x^2$. Hence, sixteen times the area of the square minus sixteen times the area of the circle is equal to $-3x^2 - 1800x + 37200 = 0$. Solving it, we obtain $x = 20$, and so the circumference of the circle is 60 bu and the perimeter of the square is 240 bu. To solve such an equation the Chinese mathematicians developed a method called *zengcheng kaifangfa* [增乘開方法 method of extraction of roots by successive addition and multiplication], which was the same as what is known in the Western world as Horner’s method, devised by William George Horner (1788-1837) in 1819.

This method of setting up and solving a polynomial equation was a significant achievement in Chinese mathematics of the thirteenth century. As early as in the Qin-Han period (third century B.C.E. to second century C.E.) the famous mathematical classics *Jiuzhang Suanshu* explained the method called *daicong kaifangshu* [帶從開方術 procedure of extraction of square root with accompanying number], which was the same as what is known in the Western world as Horner’s method, devised by William George Horner (1788-1837) in 1819.
accuracy. This method was extended by later mathematicians of the twelfth/thirteenth centuries during the Song-Yuan period to solve a polynomial equation of higher degree, known by the name of tianyuanshu [天元術 celestial source procedure]. For instance, in the mathematical classics Shushu Jiuhang of 1247 QIN Jiu-shao treated an equation of degree ten in Problem 2 (measuring a circular castle from the distance) of Chapter 8 as an illustration on how one could solve a polynomial equation of any degree by finding a root to any degree of accuracy (Siu, 1995; Siu, 2009).

Ironically, this high-point of Chinese mathematics was also the beginning of its stagnation! One reason is that there was no need at the time to solve an equation of such high degree in practice. When the technical capability far exceeded the demand imposed by practical matters, motivation otherwise arising from an inner curiosity would not attract those with mainly a pragmatic attitude. For instance, the pragmatic attitude would not induce Chinese mathematicians in those days to think about existence of a root of an equation, not to mention solvability by radical, because they were sufficiently satisfied with an algorithm that could obtain a root to any degree of accuracy in terms of decimal places (Siu, 1995; Siu, 2009). One mathematician in the early Qing period, namely, WANG Lai [汪萊 1768-1813], was a rare exception. He was not satisfied with the work of mathematicians in the Song-Yuan period and wished to surpass it by posing questions such as deciding whether a quadratic equation has a real root or an imaginary root, thus touching on the theory of equations. However, he was so much ahead of his time that he was regarded at the time as a “maverick”!

Therefore, this tianyuan procedure was unfortunately almost lost, at best vaguely known but not well understood, after the thirteenth century owing to the turbulent era which lasted until the Ming Dynasty was established by the mid-fourteenth century. In the next section we will see the tianyuan procedure mentioned by Chinese mathematicians of the eighteenth century but in a different light.

5 Emperor Kangxi’s study on solving equations

The story starts with the first period of transmission of European learning into China during the reign of Emperor Kangxi [康熙帝 1654-1722], which spanned the 1660s and 1670s. For a much more detailed account and discussion, interested readers are invited to read (Jami, 2011).

Following the practice of the Ming Court the Qing Court employed the service of foreign missionaries as official astronomers to make an accurate calendar, which was held by many as a major tool of legitimization of the Imperial rule in traditional Chinese thinking. The German Jesuit Johann Adam Schall von Bell [湯若望 1591-1666] convinced Emperor Shunzhi [順治帝 1638-1661] to adopt a new calendar, which was actually the fruition of a huge programme accomplished by XU Guang-qi [徐光啟 1562-1633] and his team in the Ming Dynasty but could not be implemented because of the collapse of the Ming Dynasty in 1644. When Emperor Kangxi succeeded to the throne in 1661 as a boy not yet seven-year-old, Schall lost the support of the late emperor and was accused of treason by the conservative ministers backed up by the powerful regents of the boy-emperor. Along with some other Chinese Catholic converts he was sentenced to death and would have met his tragic ending were it not for a strong earthquake that shook the capital for several days in
1665. People took this to be a warning from heaven that told them it was wrong to accuse Schall of treason. Furthermore, the Grand Empress Dowager (grandmother of Emperor Kangxi) intervened so that Schall was spared the death sentence but was expelled to Macao instead, where he died there soon afterwards in 1666. This event, known as the “calendar case”, was rehabilitated in 1669 at the initiation of another foreign missionary, the Belgian Jesuit Ferdinand Verbiest [南懷仁 1623-1688].

Verbiest, who spent two years at the famous University of Coimbra before he was sent to China for missionary work, succeeded Adam Schall von Bell as the Head of the Imperial Astronomical Bureau, serving from 1669 to 1688. The way Verbiest managed to turn the tide was to challenge his opponent, the conservative minister YANG Guang-xian [楊光先 1597-1669], to compete in measuring the shadow of the sun on one December day of 1668. This event left a deep impression on the young Kangxi, as he later recounted the story: “You all know that I am good at mathematics but do not know the reason why I study it. When I was very young there were frequent disputes between Han officials and Westerners in the Imperial Astronomical Bureau. They accused each other so badly that somebody might get beheaded! Yang Guangxian and Tang Ruowang [Adam Schall von Bell --- apparently Kangxi’s memory did not serve him well here!] competed in predicting the sun shadow at the Wu Gate in the presence of the nine chief ministers. However, none of them knew what the astronomers were doing. In my opinion, how can a person who lacks knowledge judge who is right or wrong? Hence I determined to study with all my might and main the subject of mathematics. Now that the methods were compiled and explained clearly in books, learners find it easy. Heaven knows how difficult it was for me to learn it in those days!”

Verbiest was very much respected as the teacher of Emperor Kangxi in mathematics, astronomy and science. Realizing that he was himself getting old, Verbiest wrote and asked the Society of Jesus to send more younger missionaries learned in mathematics and astronomy to China. His call was answered by King Louis XIV of France (1638-1715), who sent a group of French Jesuits to China in 1685. For a political reason of not causing problem with the Portuguese authority this group of French Jesuits were sent under a sort of disguise as the “King’s Mathematicians”. By the time the five French Jesuits settled in the Imperial Court in 1688, Verbiest had passed away, so Emperor Kangxi continued to learn assiduously Western mathematics and astronomy from these “King’s Mathematicians” in the second period.

Two of the French Jesuits, Jochaim Bouvet [白晉 1656-1730] and Jean-François Gerbillon [張誠 1654-1707], left us with their diaries which gave a detailed account of their days spent in the Imperial Court. Bouvet wrote in his diary, published in France in 1697 and soon to be translated into English in 1699 [Histoire de l’empereur de la Chine: présentée au Roy (The history of Cang-hy, the present emperour of China: presented[sic] to the most Christian King]) (Bouvet, 1697):

“His natural genius is such as can be parallel’d but by few, being endow’d with a quick and piercing Wit, a vast memory, and great Understanding; His constancy is never to be shaken by any sinister Event, which makes him the fittest Person in the World, not only to undertake, but also to accomplish Great designs.[…] But, what may seem most surprising, is, that so great a Monarch, who bears upon his shoulders
the weight of so vast an Empire, should apply himself with a great deal of Assiduity
to, and have a true relish of all Sorts of useful Arts and Sciences.

"[…] so there is not any Science in Europe that ever came to his Knowledge, but
he showed a great Inclination to be instructed in it. The first Occasion which had a
more than ordinary Influence upon his Mind, happened (as he was pleased to tell us
himself) upon a Difference arisen betwixt Yang quansien [Yang Guang-xian], the
Famous Author of the last Persecution in China, and father Ferdinand Verbiest, of the
Society of Iseus. […] As this Tryal of Skill in the Mathematiks was the first Occasion
that introduced the Father Missionaries into the Emperor’s acquaintance; so from that
time, he always shew’d a great inclination to be instructed in the Mathematical
Sciences, which in effect, are in great Esteem among the Chineses.

“During the space of two Years, Father Verbiest instructed him in the Usefulness
of the best of the Mathematical Instruments, and in what else was most Curious in
Geometry, the Statique, and Astronomy; for which purpose he wrote several
Treatises. […] He did the Honour to us four Iesuits, Missionaries then at Peking, to
receive our Instructions, sometimes in the Chinese, sometimes in the Tartarian
Language; […] Much about the same time, Father Anthony Thomas, did give him
further Instruction concerning the Use of the best Mathematical Instruments, in the
Chinese language, and the Practical part of Geometry and Arithmatik, the principles
of which he had formerly been taught by Father Verbiest. He would also have us
explain him the Elements of Euclid in the Tartarian Language, being desirous to be
well instructed in them, as looking upon them to be the Foundation, upon which to
build the rest.

“After he was sufficiently instructed in the Elements of geometry, he ordered us to
compile a whole System of both the Theorick and Practick of Geometry, in the
Tartarian Language, which we afterwards explain’d to him in the same manner as we
had done with the Elements of Euclid. At the same time, Father Thomas made a
Collection of all the Calculations of geometry and Arithmaticks (in the Chinese
language) containing most of the Curious Problems extant, both in the European and
Chinese Books, that treat this matter. He was so much delighted in the pursuit of
these Sciences, that besides betwixt two and three Hours, which were set aside every
day on purpose to be spent in our Company, he bestowed most of his leisure time,
both in the day and at night in his Studies.”

The third period of transmission began with the establishment of the Office of
Mathematics in Mengyangzhai [蒙養齋 Studio for the Cultivation of the Youth], a
place situated in the garden inside the Imperial Palace. Prince Yinzhi [胤祉 1677-
1732], third son of Emperor Kangxi, was made the Head of Office of Mathematics.
Besides serving as a school for taking lessons in mathematics, astronomy and science,
the main task of this Office was to compile the monumental treatise Lüli Yuanyuan [律
曆淵源 Origin of Mathematical Harmonics and Astronomy], comprising three
parts: Lixiang kaocheng [曆象考成 Compendium of Observational Computational
Astronomy] in forty-two volumes, Shuli Jingyun [數理精藴 Collected Basic
Principles of Mathematics] in fifty-three volumes, and Lülü Zhengyi [律呂正義
Exact Meaning of Pitchpipes] in five volumes. The compilers were all Chinese
officials and scholars, but the book mentioned the contribution of foreign missionaries
at the beginning of Shuli Jingyun. The treatise Shuli Jingyun includes both traditional
Chinese mathematics, the part that was still in extant and was understood at the time, as well as Western mathematics, highly likely from the “lecture notes” prepared by the missionaries for Emperor Kangxi during his first period of ardent study in the 1670s.

Another teacher who taught Emperor Kangxi the art of solving equations was the Belgian Jesuit Antoine Thomas [安多 1644-1709], who studied at University of Coimbra from 1678 to 1680 and was ordered to go to Peking in 1685. By the time Thomas came to China he had compiled Synopsis mathematica, which was based on the book De numerosa potestatum ad exegesim resolution (On the numerical resolution of powers by exegetics) written by François Viète (1540-1603) in 1600. Thomas later revised it as Suanfa Zuanyao Zonggang [算法纂要總綱 Outline of the Essential Calculations] and Jiegenfang Suanfa [借根方算法 Method of Borrowed Root and Powers], to be used as lecture notes for the mathematics lessons in the Imperial Court and later incorporated into Books 31-36 of Shuli Jingyun.

For the purpose of illustration let us look at an example in the book of Viète, namely, to solve the equation “x squared plus A times x equals B”. Let $x_1$ be a first approximation of a root, which is $x_1 + x_2$. Substitute into the equation and neglect the comparatively much smaller term $x_2$ squared. We obtain $x_2$ in terms of $x_1$, $A$ and $B$. So we have a better approximation $x_1 + x_2$. Keep reiterating the process to obtain a better and better approximation. Let us look at a similar problem in Book 33 of Shuli Jingyun: “If the cube [of root] and eight roots are equal to 1824, how much is one root?” In modern day mathematical language we want to solve $x^3 + 8x = 1824$, which is accomplished by a method called “extraction of cube root with accompanying number”. The basic idea is the same as that in the previous example. If the answer is not exact, the process will give a better and better approximation to any number of decimal places. Emperor Kangxi not only studied the method in earnest but even did homework assignments which can still be read in the archive today!

The Chinese mathematician MEI Jue-cheng [梅瑴成 1681-1763] told the story on how he learnt this new method in his book Chishui Yizhen [赤水遺珍 Pearls Remaining in the Red River] of 1761:

“While I was serving in the Imperial Court of the late Emperor canonized as Shengzu Humane [Kangxi], I was instructed on the method of jiegenfang [借根方 borrowed root and powers] by the late Emperor. He issued an edict to say that the Westerners called the book aerrebala [阿爾熱巴拉 algebra] that means “Method from the East”. I respectfully learnt the method, which is really marvellous and is the guide to mathematics.

“I suspected that the method resembles that of tianyuan [天元 celestial source], so I took up the book Shoushi Licao [授時曆草 Calculation Draft of the Shoushi Calendar] and studied it, thereby clarifying the matter. Though the terminologies are different the two methods are the same, not just a mere resemblance.

“Scholars in the Yuan period wrote on calendar reckoning using this method, which became a lost art for some unknown reason. It was fortunate that those [foreigners] who resided afar admired our culture and sent it back so that we retrieved it. From their naming it “Method from the East” we see that they did not forget from whom they learnt this method.”

This is an indication of how the slogan of the time, “Western learning has its origin in Chinese learning”, got promulgated. In making his subjects believe that Western
learning originated in older Chinese learning, Emperor Kangxi knew that the Chinese would be more than willing to learn it and would not regard it as something opposing traditional value. Or, maybe he really thought that the method originated in older Chinese learning. Indeed, similar methods were explained in mathematical classics of earlier days, most of which became less known by the Ming and early Qing period. However, it is grossly wrong to say that *aerrebala* (algebra) means “Method from the East”. For the Europeans the method was transmitted to them from the Islamic world --- indeed “east” to them, but not from China! The word “algebra” itself does not connote anything about “Method from the East” but comes from the Arabic word *al-jabr*, meaning “restoration”, which is to be understood together with another Arabic word *al-muqābala*, meaning “reduction”. These two words appear in the title of a famous Arabic treatise of the ninth century from the pen of Muhammad ibn Mūsā al-Khwārizmī (ca. 780-850). The two words together describe the method in solving an algebraic equation by transposing terms and simplifying the expression, something a school pupil of today would be quite familiar with.

Catherine Jami once made a very pertinent remark, “[…] the cross-cultural transmission of scientific learning cannot be read in a single way, as the transmission of immutable objects between two monolithic cultural entities. Quite the contrary: the stakes in this transmission, and the continuous reshaping of what was transmitted, can be brought to light only by situating the actors within the society in which they lived, by retrieving their motivations, strategies, and rationales within this context.” (Jami, 1999). In the process of transmission, sometimes between several different cultures thousands of miles apart in a span of hundreds of years, the reshaping would be intricate and hard to trace. Nevertheless, what finally evolved is the result of collective wisdom that became part of human heritage for which it is actually quite unnecessary to ascertain who the originator was. I subscribe to what Joseph Needham says in the preface of his monumental treatise, “The citizen of the world has to live with his fellow-citizens […]. He can only give them the understanding and appreciation which they deserve if he knows the achievements of the sages and precursors of their culture as well as of his own. […] Certain it is that no people or group of peoples has had a monopoly in contributing to the development of Science. Their achievements should be mutually recognized and freely celebrated with the joined hands of universal brotherhood.” (Needham, 1954).

Viète wrote another book in 1591 with more important influence, namely, *In Artem Analyticem Isagoge* [Introduction to the Analytical Art]. In his book he introduced what he called “logistica numerosa” and “logistica speciosa”, that is, numerical calculation and symbolic calculation. Viète was so pleased with his idea that he concluded his book by the exclamation: “Quod est, nullum non problema solver [There is no problem that cannot be solved]”! It led to subsequent work of René Descartes (1596-1650), *La géométrie* [Geometry] of 1637, and that of Isaac Newton (1642-1726), *Arithmetica Universalis* [Universal Arithmetic] of 1707 (with the work actually done about forty years earlier), by which time mathematicians in Europe were familiar with the use of symbolic calculation. Again, a school pupil of today would be quite familiar with that too, but when Viète first introduced it in his book, it
was a very novel idea. Let us see how Emperor Kangxi reacted to it when another French Jesuit, Jean-François Foucquet [傅聖澤 1665-1741] taught him this new method, which Foucquet called the “new method of aerrebala”.

In an Imperial Edict issued by Kangxi Emperor between 1712 and 1713 he said, “Every day soon after getting up I study with the Princes the method of aerrebala [algebra] and find it most difficult. He [J.-F. Foucquet] says that it is easier than the old method, but it looks more difficult than the old method and has more errors as well as many awkward features. […] Copy this Imperial Edict and issue the book in the capital to the Westerners for them to study it in details, and to delete those parts that do not make sense. It says something like Jia multiplies Jia, and Yi multiplies Yi, without any concrete number appearing. One never knows what the result of the multiplication is. It seems that this man [J.-F. Foucquet] is only mediocre in mathematical skill!” In defence Foucquet said in his book Aerrebala Xinfa [阿爾熱巴拉新法 New Method of Aerrebala], “The old method uses numerical values, while the new method uses symbols that are accommodating [通融記號 tongrong jihao] […] Using this accommodating notation, it is easy to perform calculation, and it enables one to see the situation clearly so that one can focus on the method and understand the underlying rationale of the calculation. The use of numerical value works only for a particular value, while the use of accommodating notation encompasses all values in general.”

The frustration expressed by Emperor Kangxi reminds us of the joke in the classroom in which a teacher announces routinely, “Let x be the age of the boy, then…” only to receive a matter-of-fact protest from a pupil, “What happens if it is not?” The explanation given by Foucquet reminds us of an important underlying message, which is however seldom made apparent to school pupils, namely, that we treat numerical quantities as general objects, and manipulate such general objects as if they were numerical quantities. Although we do not know (prior to solving the equation) what they are, we know that they stand for certain numbers and, as such, obey general rules. For instance we do not know what A and B are, but we know that $A \times B = B \times A$. We can therefore apply these general rules systematically to solve problems which can be formulated in terms of equations. This is in fact the underlying essence of modern day abstract algebra in which the study and vista broaden from the familiar number systems to various algebraic structures of interest.

For a teacher this phenomenon should not be unfamiliar. Many students who face some difficulty in learning a new subject, instead of putting in more effort, choose the easier way out by blaming the teacher for poor teaching and telling everybody that the teacher is incapable! This will be an even more common phenomenon in the case of giving private tutoring to a spoiled child of a billionaire! In some sense, the episode between Emperor Kangxi and Father Foucquet was like that! It is important for a teacher to try to convince the pupil why symbolic manipulation is needed, why the effort to understand it is worthwhile, and to explain the meaning behind those symbols.

Of the several methods of solving algebraic equations, the “new method” gradually replaced the other methods by the eighteenth century and was further developed. However, because of this personal dislike of the subject by Emperor Kangxi, probably resulting from reluctance to admit his own inadequacy in learning it coupled with
arrogance, the transmission into China of the powerful method of symbolic calculation was delayed for nearly one-and-half century! China had to wait until in 1859 Li Shan-lan [李善蘭 1811-1882] and the British missionary Alexander Wylie [偉烈亞力 1815-1887] collaborated to translate the treatise Elements of Algebra written by Augustus De Morgan (1806-1871) in 1835, which was given the title Daishuxue [代數學 The Study of Daishu] (Chan, Siu, 2012). Thirteen years later HUA Heng-fang [華蘅芳 1833-1902] and another British missionary John Fryer [傳蘭雅 1839-1928] translated the book Algebra William Wallace (1768-1843) wrote for the Encyclopaedia Britannica between 1801 and 1810, which was given the title Daishushu [代數術 The Method of Daishu], in 1872. In both translated text, the term daishu literally means “the study of numbers represented by a character”, which is no doubt motivated by what De Morgan wrote in his book: “A letter denotes a number, which may be, according to circumstances, as will hereafter appear, either any number we please; or some particular number which is not known, and which, therefore, has a sign to represent it till it is known.”

6 Conclusion

When we were in school we all learnt to solve equations. Did it ever occur to you at the time how miraculous the phrase “let x be …” is? Without knowing what this magic x stands for a priori, somehow at the end after certain symbolic manipulations the value for x falls out as an answer! Or, at the other extreme, did you find the routine working of solving an equation step by step boring at the time? Now that we become teachers ourselves do we realize the difficulty most students have in understanding what the phrase “let x be …” means? Indeed, what is this x? as an unknown (in an equation) ? as a variable (in a function) ? as an indeterminate in a polynomial)?

Although the Chinese had built up a rather refined kind of machinery in solving different types of equations from the ancient to medieval times, what is learnt in school today basically follows what was developed in the Islamic world since the eighth century and subsequently in Europe from the sixteenth century to the nineteenth century. This was transmitted into China in the Ming Dynasty and the Qing Dynasty. In some sense, a school pupil of today, when first encountered the topic of solving equations, might feel the same as what a Chinese in those days first encountered Western ways of solving equations. In this paper we attempt to look at the pedagogical issue from this viewpoint and hope that learners can benefit from the discussion.
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